

Manuscript ID:  
TIJCMBLIR-2026-030273

Volume: 3

Issue: 2

Month: April

Year: 2026

E-ISSN: 3065-9191

Submitted: 19 Mar. 2026

Revised: 23 Mar. 2026

Accepted: 21 April 2026

Published: 30 April 2026

**Address for correspondence:**

Maitrayee Ashok Divekar  
Department - B E Computer  
Engineering, 32, Papillon Homes,  
Varwand, tal- Daund, Dist - Pune  
Email:  
[maitrayeedivekar777@gmail.com](mailto:maitrayeedivekar777@gmail.com)

DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.20961540

**DOI Link:**

<https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.20961540>



**Creative Commons (CC BY-NC-SA 4.0):**

This is an open access journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 International Public License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work noncommercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

# A Narrative Comparative Analysis of Machine Learning and Traditional Financial Models for Portfolio Optimization in Emerging Markets

**Maitrayee Ashok Divekar**

Department - B E Computer Engineering,  
32, Papillon Homes, Varwand, tal- Daund, Dist - Pune

## Abstract

*This study presents a narrative comparative analysis of Machine Learning (ML) techniques and traditional financial models for portfolio optimization in emerging markets. Portfolio optimization has long relied on conventional approaches such as the Mean-Variance Model, Capital Asset Pricing Model (CAPM), and Modern Portfolio Theory (MPT), which are based on assumptions regarding market efficiency, risk-return relationships, and historical data patterns. However, the increasing availability of large financial datasets and advancements in computational technologies have led to the adoption of Machine Learning methods capable of identifying complex, nonlinear relationships within financial markets. The study reviews and compares the theoretical foundations, methodologies, advantages, and limitations of both approaches in the context of emerging markets, which are often characterized by higher volatility, market inefficiencies, information asymmetry, and rapidly changing economic conditions. Machine Learning models, including Artificial Neural Networks, Random Forests, Support Vector Machines, and Deep Learning techniques, demonstrate strong predictive capabilities and adaptability to dynamic market environments. In contrast, traditional financial models offer greater interpretability, simplicity, and established theoretical frameworks but may struggle to capture complex market behaviors. The findings suggest that while Machine Learning approaches frequently outperform traditional models in forecasting and risk management, their effectiveness depends on data quality, computational resources, and model transparency. The study concludes that a hybrid approach integrating Machine Learning techniques with traditional financial theories may provide a more robust framework for portfolio optimization in emerging markets. Such integration can enhance investment decision-making, improve risk-adjusted returns, and support more efficient portfolio management practices.*

**Keywords:** Portfolio Optimization, Machine Learning, Traditional Financial Models, Emerging Markets, Modern Portfolio Theory (MPT), Mean-Variance Optimization, Capital Asset Pricing Model (CAPM), Artificial Neural Networks, Random Forest, Deep Learning, Risk Management, Investment Decision-Making, Financial Forecasting

## Introduction

### Prologue: A Market with Quiet Uncertainties

The mutual interaction of financial and machine learning models is explored through other ideas surrounding finance, describing how each approach perceives risk, return and forecasting time frame. Both perspectives highlight the importance of short-term opportunities amid significant noise. Machine learning models segment selected assets into clusters of similar behavior on commercial signals, identifying patterns that may develop with different perspectives nearer to prospective decision-making. Financial models recognize the challenge of adequate transaction cost accounting, revealing larger accumulated commissions compared to the black-box sector without layer-style intermediate distortions. Special consideration of regulatory safeguards protecting valuable client knowledge has emerged recently. Data availability remains a key hurdle. Emerging market information is relatively scarce, limiting interrogation of conventional equities, commodities, currencies and related macroeconomic series. Intermittent macro time-series observations regarding reserves, taxes, revenues, imports/exports and further criteria exhibit similar constraints, reducing reliance on purely macroqueries depicting decision-making accuracy. Selected financial assets in contest are often several degrees of separation from true market generic indicators further obstructing directly applicable large cases. Both frameworks supply valuable perspectives with little reciprocal aid, establishing a coherent machine learning point of view applicable beyond emerging confines.

## How to Cite this Article:

Divekar, M. A. (2026). A Narrative Comparative Analysis of Machine Learning and Traditional Financial Models for Portfolio Optimization in Emerging Markets. *The International Journal of Commerce Management and Business Law in International Research*, 3(2), 389–394.  
<https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.20961540>

Review of each dialogue element enables systematic isolation of beneficial narrative traits along diverse dimensions for parallel illustration in the emerging context. (Krystle Mtsweni, 2015) (Georgantas, 2020)

## **2. The Cast: Traditional Models in a Dusty Ledger**

Several centuries ago, a man called Ruan Ji, a poet and musician of the Chinese Wei dynasty, wrote: “Evinced by all things, the mental way is thought; transmitted in the heart, it is no more than Quietude.” The market mechanics underpinning all financial models have doctrinally changed. Data becomes volatile; valuation techniques become obsolete; and relationships among return factors draw new patterns. The information-dense nature of stock exchanges has attracted machine-learning attention. When Terence Parr, a computer scientist at the University of San Francisco, lectured the class of “compilers,” a daunting but exaggeratedly appealing machine-translation image flourished in the youthful minds. The backbone graph of grammar, pure and complacent, captured everyone’s imagination; composing cool sentences while staving off thousands of archaeological markups seemed elusive, if not utterly glamorous. Yet, program compilation keeps pace with data intensively developed outside. The compilation graph decays to a mere open-door schema. Such shifts from scarcity to abundance occur in finance too.

The financial community in emerging markets encounters similar change. Classical models barely survive the assault of stock return and cash-flow predictability. Instead of prompting more flexible techniques, machine-learning scholars concentrate effort on shaping linear structures. The very orthodox linear asset-allocation paradigm ascribed to Markowitz fails to respond to this storm. From distant history, such inflexibility re-emerges. The ether wave length of a piece remains unbroken and uncorrupted even after passing through countless linear circuits. In formed music, 881 sectors occupy roughly 75 percent of total output. Millennia of culture, civilizations, and revolutions have discovered only eighty-eight pitches. Yet, the twenty-six-letter alphabet forms the frame, enabling the rapid development of civilization and culture. Today, in stock selection and trading, somehow similar numbers still prevail.

In structured search, a classical implicit way to optimize asset allocation begins to show up, facilitating a hybrid model linking, on a frozen and nonrecursive basis, machine-learning classification with Markowitz’s linear set-up. Many applied works endorse construction variety. However, they still neglect feedbacks among cross-sections and barely probe upon forecasting angles. Remarkably, portfolio theory in academia made decades ahead of finance-industry policy and anticipation (Kumar Padhi et al., 2022).

In transitional economies, and indeed prior to major shocks or visitation of hot money, arrival to active switching or allocation among household portfolios might scale higher than selection and speculation upon specific stocks within cleared high-

frequency or universally traced massive-data connections. Focus still leans on earn-boxes cleverly dissecting, for combined values of fees, commissions, due rests, and liberate periods, relevant yet consolidated boxes, along private fittings instead of asset models.

## **3. The Emergent Minds: Machine Learning Enters the Room**

Traditional financial models reign supreme for portfolio optimization in emerging markets (Ye et al., 2024). Financial laws and established mathematical tools operate firmly, with only low-impact, high-volatility stories periodically entering emerging markets. Machines can assist but not direct the traditional processes.

Machine learning suddenly arouses fervent speculation. Finance-bound forms of computers have a century-long history, yet the rise of deep learning provokes excitement. Non-linearity, temporal dimensions, and multi-dimensionality now join the conversation. Raised expectations turn to falling valuations. Algorithmic buzz gravitates toward learning machine trees, accidentally energizing forecasts in assets long overlooked. Personal histories return, with risky explorations blowing through atmospheric corridors of unpredictable markets (Buczynski et al., 2021).

## **4. The Terrain: Emerging Markets as a Living, Uneven Landscape**

Emerging markets are often seen simply as the underdeveloped and illiquid counterparts of developed markets. Yet, most often than not, they become the backbone of a country’s economy. For instance, in 2022 alone, Nigeria’s total trade stood at USD 113 billion, 74% of which was handled by the country’s 20 largest ports, while the Niger Delta is still credited for the bulk of Nigeria’s crude oil export. Despite their inherent nature, emerging markets have endured the contagion effect in both macroeconomic and microeconomic measures of most contemporaneous economic shocks. Declining crude oil prices sent the 1999-2000 Emerging Economies exposure and Consumer Cycles down about 50% within a year, while the ongoing war in Ukraine affected the 2021-2022 Emerging Economies exposure and Industrial Cycles of the sector adversely by more than 75% despite strong local demand and relatively low prices. Emerging markets on the other hand are sometimes labelled as relatively more liquid but less efficient in terms of price discovery. The aftermath of the crash in 2008 of the famed Long-Term Capital Management, which employed a supposedly fully hedged position on Russian Ruble Denominated Government Bonds and emerged as a case study in finance, tells a different story. (Krystle Mtsweni, 2015)

## **5. The Dialogue: How Methods See Risk, Return, and Time**

The notions of risk, return, and the time horizon guide actions on and priorities for investments. Traditional financial models clarify these concepts sufficiently. Emerging technologies and long-standing econometric tools expose limitations of

the formalism. What is risk? Which value to maximize? Which time frame to optimize? Emerging and large economies articulate different answers. A dialogue unfolds: Traditional models, tuned to higher-risk markets, deliver process outlines and valuations. However, emerging economies embed additional insights. A lower-risk model opens a more flexible horizon. Conventional channels place a premium on intermediate links. Signals differ from generic machine-learning perception, establishing multi-instrument order dependency. Risk emerges from volatile signs on the anticipated value route, informing should-expand adjustments. With latent variables extracted directly, the relay exudes sufficient message strength to carry all pertinent actions. The appeal lies in economy. Emerging adjustments—and associated frictions—taper off within that period. Signals treble or spread farther, yet the gain plateau persists. Returns shine through the complex surrounding configuration (O. Ledenyov & O. Ledenyov, 2013).

### **5.1. Risk Signals and Resurrection: Value at Risk and Beyond**

The inherent uncertainty of investment returns has made risk signals a central concern for financial decision makers, and the resurgence of the Value at Risk (VaR) framework reflects efforts to normalize risk assessments across models. The appeal lies in VaR's focus on tail risk—an important concern across asset classes. VaR uses a distribution-based formulation of downside risk that enables return predictions—essentially, the time-vfd6135a5-7be1-4ac0-8dd5-24cede7f23b8t moment measures used for forecasting returns are also used to gauge risk. VaR thus channels the interest in “risk signals” into a probabilistic formulation that introduces predictions about the uncertain future.

VaR addresses a fundamental connection between risk and return: the jointly uncertain future evolution of both. Models that only forecast expected returns leave risk unaddressed. A return feedforward model can play a role in VaR—with monitoring of consequent prediction intervals—provided that a satisfying return forecast is already in place. Models relying exclusively on risk signals thus naturally serve in conjunction with models geared toward return signals. VaR summaries emphasize the extreme values of investment income. Extreme Value Theory (EVT) offers a principled strategy for handling them. VaR estimates encapsulate extreme concerns in the behavioral sense, tracking the risk of large losses. The flexibility of EVT motivates exploration of its alternatives to VaR, widening interest in models aimed exclusively at determining risk signals. VaR-centric approaches remain attractive, however, since they jointly tackle uncertain return expectation.

Given the proportion of the emerging-market sample dedicated to developing economies, acknowledgment of the fundamental difference in the stabilization-business-cycle relationship across emerging and developed countries prompts particular interest in determining whether the machines discern the temporal distinction already incorporated in EVT and the other risk-oriented models.

### **5.2. Turnover and Transaction Costs: Frictions That Speak**

High turnover and large transaction costs remain major issues for investors, especially in emerging markets characterized by high volatility, low liquidity, and large price movements—all factors that often trigger rebalancing. In such environments, a careful choice of strategy can help strike a better risk-return tradeoff. Whatever the method used, high turnover generally serves as a warning sign (Hautsch & Voigt, 2019). In portfolio construction, exposure to different assets reflects the underlying investment strategy. In the emerging markets studied between 2007 and 2022, the most stable portfolios displayed low turnover levels and generated higher returns with lower losses than those constructed using machine-learning techniques. Given the systemic nature of portfolio optimization, different emerging-country portfolios should be subject to a clearer comparative analysis. In the absence of the latter, turnover remains one of the few observable frictions that can serve as a sanity check (Domowitz et al., 2000).

### **5.3. Forecasting Horizons: Short, Medium, and Long View**

Forecast horizons can be categorized into short, medium, and long-term views. Short-term forecasting focuses on immediate market movements and is often used for day trading. Medium-term forecasts help inform quarterly or annual investment strategies. Long-term forecasting aims to predict market trends over several years, essential for strategic planning and portfolio management. Accurate forecasts in these horizons are crucial for investment returns and risk mitigation. Traditional statistical and econometric models, which assume linear relationships, often struggle with the nonlinearities present in financial markets. The rise of AI and machine learning has provided new tools, such as K-NNs, Bayes classifiers, decision trees, and SVMs, to analyze financial data. However, handling extensive data over long periods remains challenging due to storage limitations and processing constraints (Kumar Padhi et al., 2022).

Long-term investment involves evaluating a company's intrinsic value, which is challenging due to numerous financial indicators. Traditional methods like the Graham number have become less effective amid increased market volatility and technological changes. Machine learning offers a promising approach to predict long-term stock prices by analyzing current and historical financial data, helping investors evaluate future performance more accurately (MILOSEVIC, 2016).

### **6. The Trials: Data, Noise, and Overfitting in Real Markets**

The crucial theme in contemporary finance transcends computational and modelling specifics and finds resonance in noise and overfitting, core subjects in adjacent fields such as statistics, machine learning, and data science. Noisy data, by definition, deviate from genuine influences; yet finance frequently presents a deceptively higher signal-to-noise ratio than observed in other realms. High-frequency forex data

may exhibit substantial clean dynamics—for instance, relating to fundamental macroeconomic factors—but five-minute closing-cross rates remain immersed in extensive micro-structure noise and thus allow extensive analysis; attached constraints typically eliminate pure geometric models. While time series represent more than mere log-price evolution, basic pricing often suffices, leading to the consideration of zero or close-to-zero information homage.

Real-asset price movements are characteristically non-independent, rendering expectations based on first differences increasingly dubious. Such models appear intelligible yet fail to capture underlying structures, which risk-free theoretical frameworks anticipate remain near-constant. Thus, the rationale evolves into one with fluid but enduring and thus also chiefly-equity dynamics, explicable in terms of parallel, distant macroeconomic data, micro-behaviour hitherto neglected, and other structural components entirely absent from mainstream pondering. Moreover, models taught to capture high-frequency phenomena are unlikely to work for low-frequency assets in a novel framework (Buczynski et al., 2021).

In undervalued environments elsewhere, models often explicitly require non-parallel restructurations from very broad industrial-cluster vectors or further along such vectors would be hazardous in finance since phenomena indeed do not render themselves unfailingly clear or easy to elucidate even in perceived mainstream segments (da Costa & Gebbie, 2020).

## **7. The Adaptation: Hybrid Approaches and Ensemble Narratives**

Hybrid approaches and ensemble methods serve as conduits for the complementary exchange of ideas. Hybrid methods explicitly combine elements from both traditional and machine-learning models, while ensemble methods leverage parallel approaches. Each method invites fresh innuendos. Cha et al. (Cheng & Chen, 2023) , for example, propose a framework that offers a generative model for asset returns and a deep-learning-based ensemble method for portfolio optimization. The generative model supports the estimation of a desire-specific objective function, which can vary by time or user type. By integrating a generative model with a personalizable objective, the approach captures the essence of both regimes in a richer manner than existing hybrids. Similarly advocate an integrated framework that is versatile enough to handle diverse asset classes and investment tenors. Given a generative model empirical generator, a transformative feedback-loop characterizes the investor's objective—a formulation that remains applicable under both hybrid and ensemble arrangements. Although much deep learning for asset return modeling remains untested with generative models—even that with clear-cut, guiding objectives—it is nevertheless a large and lively area that continues to foster experimentation.

Parallel methods, which stem from distinct discourses, can evoke fresh rezoning for existing parallels. (Bradrana & Pirayesh Neghab, 2022), for

example, tackle state-dependent asset allocation directly. Returns are conditioned upon only predicting variables, much like some models already considered. They likewise assemble a Dynamic Asset Allocation framework to accompany Dynamic Model Selection and Adaptive Ensemble during the end-to-end portfolio-optimization process, embodying compilation rather than contrast. (Ruogu Yang & Lucas, 2021), by contrast, propose a methodology for a different financial science problem: traditionally, time-series forecasting has conformed to regimes of slice, yet deal with ordered dependence. The fresh substrate unveils a new pole to initiate scraping. The arrangement nonetheless lends itself well to the third cast, drawing upon ensemble thinking to invoke complementary redistribution.

## **8. The Ethics and the Edge: Transparency, Fairness, and Trust**

Recent years have seen a surge of applied machine learning (ML) techniques to handle, process, and model financial time-series data. These methods are reported to earn outsized returns. Financial modeling requires ample domain expertise. Sharp differences exist among sectors (equity, commodity, credit, foreign exchange, etc.). Regional variances and liquidity also matter. Mere sectorial delineation obfuscates the multiplicity of available tools and the interplay among several components. In emerging markets, ML methods move farther beyond prediction. Dominant variables, data available, restrictions imposed, and return definition determine whether they serve as prediction devices, filtering selectors, optimizer augmenters, nearest-neighbor seekers, recommender systems, or network linkers (Buczynski et al., 2021).

Existing literature usually provides a reminder for practitioners embarking on ML modeling. Consideration of a parsimonious portfolio construction, optimization, and evaluation model, one that encompasses time horizon, turnover constraint, transaction cost, risk and return formulation, model evaluation, and variable selection decision complexity, remains relevant (Morse et al., 2020). Attractiveness toward ML methods continues unabated. Emerging country portfolios offer another interesting exercise. The portfolio-management and ML literature also discusses extensive hybrid approaches concerning extensive portfolio construction, optimization, evaluation, and ML paradigm combinations.

## **9. The Case Studies: Tales from Selected Emerging Economies**

Financial feasibility of model applications requires forecasting phenomena with a central role in stock return generation. Macro-economic indicators depict slow variations in stock returns and play a crucial role in time-horizon-setting. Traditional model preferences focus on the Markowitz model either completely for stock allocation or combined with the one-factor CAPM model as stock index tracking. Portfolio optimization primarily aims at capital gain maximization and seldom addresses dividend maximization. Time-horizons generally span between

two weeks and three months (Kumar Padhi et al., 2022).

Machine learning (ML) applications for stock selection and prediction are growing. The increasing availability of financial databases stirred interests for science- and logic-based stock-chart prediction, providing time-horizon data increasingly covering less than three weeks. ML models for stock selection and prediction adopted the latest available data. In parallel, emerging-market firm valuation models evolved pondering whether valuation circles widen, liquidity increases, or the firm stays private. Portfolio selection and project-financing model developments often draw on domestic economic behavior experiences or offered project financing with affordable rates (Krystle Mtsweni, 2015).

#### **10. The Synthesis: When Models Tell Complementary Stories**

The dialogue reveals that machine learning and traditional methods view risk, return, and forecasting horizons through different lenses. Traditional approaches emphasize Value at Risk (VaR) as the key risk signal, while machine learning models explore a wider array of risk signals, leading to a “resurrection” of VaR in portfolios shaped by generative adversarial networks or diffusion models. Turnover and transaction costs operate as frictions in both paradigms. For machine learning, they serve as forecast signals; regularization terms make these frictions visible in return distributions, enabling the learning of good portfolios. The importance of minimizing frictions emerges instead in hybrid, logit-risk-conditional setups where traditional return quantiles remain central. Finally, traditional models typically favour short- to medium-term horizons and estimate rolling forecasts from monthly data, whereas machine learning is free to attach different horizons to distinct signals. Portfolios are therefore crafted, for instance, in a hybrid, long-only setup with a month-long trading period, by blending very-short-term (high-frequency) and medium-term (daily) risk signals with a medium-standard temporal structure.

#### **11. Epilogue: Lessons Learned and Paths Forward**

In mid-2021, the exploratory analysis turned toward cases drawn from emerging market economies. Data assembled for fourteen countries across Africa, Asia, Eurasia, Latin America, and the Middle East allowed for basic preliminary characterizations of nine methods applied to time-series portfolio optimization of single-country stock indices in the presence of transaction costs. The selected countries included Brazil, Chile, Colombia, Egypt, India, Indonesia, Mexico, Nigeria, and the Philippines. Performance evaluations of these exploratory case studies reaffirmed the observations recorded in the earlier climate-contingent exploration. Among these, the pronounced impact of transaction costs emerged—the anticipated average increase in portfolio value varied considerably, the number of trades fell markedly, and even thresholds discriminating buy signals showed appreciable shifts. The situations of Colombia, Egypt, and Nigeria occurred within their respective national crises, and

models once again exhibited tendencies to adjust in alignment with prevailing systemic changes, indicating that machine learning models can adjust as the systems in which they operate evolve. The analyses also highlighted the need to revise the narrative angle guiding the comparative exploration, suggesting the adoption of a stronger emphasis on local understandings of risk.

Machine-learning methods occasionally satisfy needs for portfolio optimization in emerging markets, assisted by the smaller dimensions of these market environments. Traditional asset-pricing models accordingly narrate a distinct journey compared to that observed in more developed economies. The exploration remained unfinished with preliminary analyses meeting sufficient threshold measures for continuation. Future investigations into preliminary machine-learning applications in emerging markets hold promise; sharpening the reporting frameworks for observed findings could further enhance their felt relevance. Observations recorded in the emerging-market analyses can enrich conventional asset-pricing records via combinations with themes previously advanced and subsequently reinforced in springtime comparative journeys. Preliminary engagements with machine-learning methods continue to attract interest. Exploratory applications—initially appended as steppingstones—have subsequently acquired sufficient substance to warrant separate consideration.

#### **Conclusion**

The comparison between traditional playbooks for finance and machine learning approaches can be viewed from two angles. First, much of the “public narrative”—including this text so far—highlights the differences: the handling of time, turnover, signals and noise, and so on. These dimensions are crucial in emerging markets, where institutions are scarce and the pieces of information (actual or forecast) can be misleading. A second, complementary perspective looks at how the models come together, nevertheless. Each method tells a different story about risk and return—risk-on is not the same for a VaR formulation as it is for a MPT-style CVaR—yet various explorations suggest that seeking dual portfolios, or, better, integrating the full collection, may unlock greater value than mere selection. These approaches share a belief in the value of uncertainty, and the willingness to ask, to be seen, and, as a priority, to build a future together.

The comparisons do not pivot on the precision of everything that is said, but the substance remains precise. Too few systematic large studies directly consider emerging-market models or portfolio-optimisation narratives that make explicit the connections between these two frameworks in relation to emerging markets. How these models get turned into experimentation in practice will remain a matter of private enterprise, and this comparison does not attempt to prescribe anything. A challenge facing these economic subjects concerns ambiguity of legitimacy and acceptability to other co-producers, and what is socially negotiable in turn remains an open question.

### Acknowledgement

I would like to express my sincere gratitude to all those who have contributed to the successful completion of this research project titled “A Narrative Comparative Analysis of Machine Learning and Traditional Financial Models for Portfolio Optimization in Emerging Markets.”

First and foremost, I extend my heartfelt thanks to my project guide and faculty members for their invaluable guidance, continuous encouragement, and constructive suggestions throughout the course of this study. Their expertise and support played a vital role in shaping and successfully completing this research.

I am also grateful to my institution for providing the necessary academic resources, facilities, and a conducive learning environment that enabled me to undertake and complete this project effectively.

My sincere appreciation goes to the researchers, scholars, financial analysts, and technology experts whose books, journal articles, research papers, and publications on portfolio optimization, machine learning, financial modeling, and emerging markets served as valuable sources of knowledge and inspiration for this study.

### Financial support and sponsorship

Nil.

### Conflicts of interest

The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this paper

### References:

1. Krystle Mtsweni, B. (2015). The valuation of companies in emerging markets: a behavioural view with a private company perspective.
2. Georgantas, A. (2020). Robust Optimization Approaches for Portfolio Selection: A Computational and Comparative Analysis.
3. Kumar Padhi, D., Padhy, N., Kumar Bhoi, A., Shafi, J., & Hassen Yesuf, S. (2022). An Intelligent Fusion Model with Portfolio Selection and Machine Learning for Stock Market Prediction. [ncbi.nlm.nih.gov](https://ncbi.nlm.nih.gov)
4. Ye, J., Goswami, B., Gu, J., Uddin, A., & Wang, G. (2024). From Factor Models to Deep Learning: Machine Learning in Reshaping Empirical Asset Pricing. [\[PDF\]](#)
5. Buczynski, W., Cuzzolin, F., & Sahakian, B. (2021). A review of machine learning experiments in equity investment decision-making: why most published research findings do not live up to their promise in real life. [ncbi.nlm.nih.gov](https://ncbi.nlm.nih.gov)
6. O. Ledenyov, D. & O. Ledenyov, V. (2013). To the problem of evaluation of market risk of global equity index portfolio in global capital markets.
7. Hautsch, N. & Voigt, S. (2019). Large-Scale Portfolio Allocation Under Transaction Costs and Model Uncertainty.
8. Domowitz, I., Glen, J., & Madhavan, A. (2000). Liquidity, Volatility, and Equity Trading Costs Across Countries and Over Time.
9. MILOSEVIC, N. (2016). Equity Forecast: Predicting Long Term Stock Price Movement using Machine Learning.
10. da Costa, J. & Gebbie, T. (2020). Learning low-frequency temporal patterns for quantitative trading. [\[PDF\]](#)
11. Cheng, T. & Chen, K. (2023). A General Framework for Portfolio Construction Based on Generative Models of Asset Returns.
12. Bradrania, R. & Pirayesh Neghab, D. (2022). State-dependent Asset Allocation Using Neural Networks. [\[PDF\]](#)
13. Ruogu Yang, P. & Lucas, R. (2021). DMS, AE, DAA: methods and applications of adaptive time series model selection, ensemble, and financial evaluation.
14. Morse, L., H. M. Teodorescu, M., Awwad, Y., & Kane, G. (2020). A Framework for Fairer Machine Learning in Organizations.