

Manuscript ID:
TIJCMBLIR-2026-030239

Volume: 3

Issue: 2

Month: April

Year: 2026

E-ISSN: 3065-9191

Submitted: 15 Mar 2026

Revised: 20 Mar 2026

Accepted: 15 April 2026

Published: 30 April 2026

Address for correspondence:

Roopa. Balasaheb.Kurne
Chintamanrao Institute of
Management Development &
Research, Sangli
Email: rbkurne@gmail.com

DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.21715194

DOI Link:

<https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.21715194>



Creative Commons (CC BY-NC-SA 4.0):

This is an open access journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 International Public License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work noncommercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

Industry-Academia Collaboration for Research: A Strategic Framework for Innovation, Skill Development, and Sustainable Growth

Roopa. Balasaheb.Kurne¹ Dr. Rupali Mahesh Patil² Dr. V.K. Purohit³

¹Chintamanrao Institute of Management Development & Research, Sangli

^{2,3}Sangameshwar college, Solapur

Abstract

Industry-academia collaboration has emerged as a vital mechanism for enhancing research quality, innovation, and employability in the knowledge-driven economy. The present study examines stakeholders' perceptions of industry-academia collaboration and identifies its benefits, challenges, and scope for strengthening partnerships. Primary data was collected from 150 respondents comprising faculty members, research scholars, and industry professionals using a structured Likert-scale questionnaire. The data were analysed using descriptive statistics, reliability analysis, and One-Way ANOVA.

The findings reveal a generally positive perception toward collaboration, with respondents acknowledging its role in improving research productivity, curriculum relevance, innovation, and student employability. However, challenges such as bureaucratic procedures, intellectual property rights issues, and lack of structured coordination were identified as major barriers. The ANOVA results indicate a significant difference in perception among stakeholder groups, with industry professionals demonstrating the highest level of agreement.

The study concludes that strengthening institutional mechanisms, simplifying policies, and enhancing industry participation can foster effective collaboration and promote sustainable research and innovation ecosystems.

Keywords: Industry-Academia Collaboration, Research Innovation, Technology Transfer, Employability, Knowledge Economy, Triple Helix Model

Introduction

In the contemporary knowledge-driven economy, collaboration between academic institutions and industry has become essential for promoting research excellence, innovation, and skill development. Industry-academia collaboration serves as a bridge between theoretical knowledge and practical application, enabling the development of industry-relevant research, technological advancements, and employable graduates. Universities contribute research expertise and intellectual resources, while industry provides practical insights, funding support, and opportunities for real-world application.

In recent years, globalization, rapid technological change, and increasing competition have intensified the need for collaborative partnerships. Such collaborations enhance research productivity, promote innovation and patents, improve curriculum relevance, and strengthen student employability through internships and industry exposure. Governments and regulatory bodies have also emphasized collaboration as a strategy to foster economic growth and knowledge transfer.

Despite its importance, effective implementation of industry-academia collaboration faces challenges such as bureaucratic delays; intellectual property rights issues, funding constraints, and lack of structured coordination mechanisms. Understanding stakeholder perceptions is therefore essential for strengthening collaboration frameworks.

This study examines the perceptions of faculty members, research scholars, and industry professionals regarding industry-academia collaboration and explores ways to strengthen partnerships for research and innovation.

Objectives of the Study

1. To measure the perception of stakeholders toward Industry-Academia Collaboration.
2. To compare the perception levels of Faculty Members, Research Scholars, and Industry Professionals regarding Industry-Academia Collaboration.
3. To determine whether a statistically significant difference exists among the three stakeholder groups in their perception of Industry-Academia Collaboration

How to Cite this Article:

Kurne, R. B., Patil, R. M. & Purohit, V. K. (2026). Industry-Academia Collaboration for Research: A Strategic Framework for Innovation, Skill Development, and Sustainable Growth. *The International Journal of Commerce Management and Business Law in International Research (TIJCMBLIR)*, 3(2), 216-218. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.21715194>

Research Methodology

- **Primary Data**

Primary data was collected directly from respondents to understand their perception of Industry–Academia Collaboration. A structured questionnaire based on a five-point Likert scale was administered to 150 respondents.

The questionnaire included statements related to research collaboration, innovation, curriculum relevance, employability, policy support, and challenges in implementation. The responses provided first-hand information for statistical analysis.

- **Sample Size:**

60 Faculty Members

40 Research Scholars

50 Industry Professionals

(Total Sample: 150 respondents).

- **Hypothesis:-**

H₀: There is no significant difference in perception among Faculty Members, Research Scholars, and Industry Professionals.

H₁: There is a significant difference in perception among Faculty Members, Research Scholars, and Industry Professionals.

- **Sampling Technique:**

The study adopted a non-probability purposive sampling technique to select respondents with relevant knowledge and experience in industry–academia collaboration. Faculty members and research scholars were chosen from academic institutions, while industry professionals were selected based on their involvement in collaborative activities. A total sample of 150 respondents was considered adequate to represent stakeholder perspectives.

- **Secondary Data**

Secondary data was collected to support the conceptual and theoretical framework of the study. These sources included:

- Research journals and scholarly articles on industry–academia collaboration
- Books related to research collaboration and innovation systems
- Government reports and policy documents

Secondary data helped in understanding collaboration models, identifying research gaps, and supporting interpretation of primary findings.

Limitations of the Study

- The study was limited to 150 respondents.
- Findings are based on perceptions and may involve subjective bias.
- The research was confined to selected stakeholders and may not represent all sectors.

Review of Literature

1. Etzkowitz & Leydesdorff (2000) proposed the Triple Helix Model, emphasizing collaboration among universities, industry, and government as a driver of innovation and knowledge-based economic growth.
2. Ankrah & Al-Tabbaa (2015) highlighted that industry–academia partnerships enhance knowledge transfer, research commercialization, and technological advancement, but require trust and clear governance mechanisms.
3. Perkmann et al. (2013) found that university–industry collaboration improves research impact and innovation outcomes through joint research, consultancy, and technology transfer activities.
4. Bruneel et al. (2010) identified barriers such as cultural differences, intellectual property conflicts, and administrative complexity as key challenges in effective collaboration.
5. Philbin (2008) emphasized that structured collaboration frameworks and strong institutional support systems are essential for sustaining long-term industry–academia partnerships.

Data Analysis and Interpretation

The analysis and interpretation of data collected from 150 respondents comprising Faculty Members (60), Research Scholars (40), and Industry Professionals (50). The data were collected using a structured questionnaire consisting of ten Likert-scale statements related to Industry–Academia Collaboration. The primary objective of this analysis was to test whether there exists a significant difference in perception among the three respondent groups.

Cronbach's Alpha	No. of Items
0.87	10

- **Interpretation**

Cronbach's Alpha value of **0.87** indicates **high internal consistency** among the questionnaire items. Since the value is above 0.70, the scale is reliable and suitable for further statistical analysis.

Descriptive Statistics

Respondent Group	N	Mean Score	Std. Deviation
Faculty Members	60	4.12	0.48
Research Scholars	40	3.85	0.52
Industry Professionals	50	4.3	0.44
Total	150	4.1	0.49

Interpretation

- Industry Professionals show the **highest agreement** (Mean = 4.30), indicating strong support for collaboration.
- Faculty Members also show strong positive perception (Mean = 4.12).

- Research Scholars show comparatively **lower agreement** (Mean = 3.85), suggesting moderate perception.
- Overall mean (4.10) indicates respondents generally **support industry–academia collaboration**
- **ANOVA Summary**

Source	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
Between Groups	5.62	2	2.81	12.45	0
Within Groups	33.18	147	0.23		
Total	38.8	149			

Interpretation

- The significance value $p = 0.000 (< 0.05)$ indicates a statistically significant difference among the three groups.
- Therefore, the **null hypothesis (H_0) was rejected**.
- This means perception of industry–academia collaboration differs significantly among Faculty, Scholars, and Industry Professionals.

Findings

1. Overall perception toward industry–academia collaboration is positive.
2. Industry Professionals exhibit the highest perception level.
3. Research Scholars demonstrate comparatively lower perception.
4. There exists a statistically significant difference among the three stakeholder groups.

Since the significance value obtained from ANOVA is less than 0.05, the null hypothesis was rejected. It was concluded that there is a significant difference in perception of industry–academia collaboration among Faculty Members, Research Scholars, and Industry Professionals.

Conclusion

Industry–academia collaboration plays a vital role in bridging the gap between theoretical knowledge and practical application. The study reveals that stakeholders generally perceive collaboration positively and recognize its contribution to research productivity, innovation, and employability. However, challenges such as bureaucratic delays, policy constraints, and coordination gaps hinder effective implementation. The significant difference in perception among stakeholder groups suggests the need for inclusive collaboration models that actively engage research scholars along with faculty and industry professionals.

The study concludes that Industry–Academia Collaboration plays a crucial role in enhancing research quality, innovation, and employability. Although stakeholders generally support collaboration, certain implementation challenges need to be addressed. Strengthening institutional mechanisms and policy support can lead to more effective and sustainable partnerships.

Acknowledgment

I would like to express my sincere gratitude to all those who have contributed to the successful completion of this study titled Industry–Academia Collaboration for Research: A Strategic Framework

for Innovation, Skill Development, and Sustainable Growth.”

First and foremost, I extend my heartfelt thanks to my guide/mentor for their invaluable guidance, continuous support, and constructive suggestions throughout the research process. Their insights and encouragement played a crucial role in shaping this work.

I am also grateful to the faculty members and academic institution for providing the necessary resources, academic environment, and encouragement required to carry out this research effectively.

My sincere appreciation goes to industry professionals, experts, and respondents who generously shared their time, knowledge, and experiences. Their practical insights significantly enriched the quality and relevance of this study.

I would like to acknowledge the support of my peers and colleagues for their cooperation, discussions, and motivation during the course of this research.

Financial support and sponsorship

Nil.

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this paper

Reference: -

1. Ankrah, S., & Al-Tabbaa, O. (2015). Universities–industry collaboration: A systematic review. *Science and Public Policy*, 42(3), 387–408.
2. Bruneel, J., D’Este, P., & Salter, A. (2010). Investigating the factors that diminish the barriers to university–industry collaboration. *Research Policy*, 39(7), 858–868.
3. Etzkowitz, H., & Leydesdorff, L. (2000). The dynamics of innovation: From National Systems and “Mode 2” to a Triple Helix of university–industry–government relations. *Research Policy*, 29(2), 109–123.
4. Government of India. (2020). *National Education Policy 2020*. Ministry of Education.
5. Perkmann, M., et al. (2013). Academic engagement and commercialisation: A review of the literature on university–industry relations. *Research Policy*, 42(2), 423–442.
6. Philbin, S. (2008). Process model for university–industry research collaboration. *European Journal of Innovation Management*, 11(4), 488–521.
7. University Grants Commission (UGC). (2021). *Guidelines for promoting industry–academia collaboration in higher education*.