Manuscript ID:
TIJCMBLIR-2026-030151

Volume: 3

Issue: 1

Month: February

Year: 2026

E-ISSN: 3065-9191

Submitted: 28 Jan 2026

Revised: 31 Jan 2026

Accepted: 25 Feb 2026

Published: 28 Feb 2026

Address for correspondence:
Swapnil Suresh Sakpal
Assistant professor Dept. Of Commerce & BAF
D. G. Tatkare Mahavidyalay
Mangaon – Raigad.
Email:
swapnilsakpal9899@gmail.com

DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.19233903
DOI Link:
https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.19233903

APMC Reforms and Their Impact on Agricultural Marketing Systems in India

Swapnil Suresh Sakpal
Assistant professor Dept. Of Commerce & BAF D. G. Tatkare Mahavidyalay Mangaon – Raigad

Abstract

Agricultural Marketing The agricultural marketing system in India has been historically regulated by the state level Agricultural Produce Market Committee (APMC) Acts to protect the farmers from exploitation and ensure fair-trade practices. But the efficiency of the system and revenues received by farmers have been hurt by monopolies, fragmented markets, high transaction costs, and limited buyer access. The Government of India has introduced several reforms over the years, including the Model APMC Act, single-point market fee, initiatives like the Electronic National Agriculture Market (e-NAM), to liberalize agricultural commerce and improve market integration

The objective of these reforms was to reduce middlemen, increase transparency and provide farmers with more options for selling their produce. Despite progress, widespread barriers such as poor infrastructure, stakeholder opposition, inconsistency in state implementation and digital disparities prevent reforms from achieving their potential. Over and above suggesting policy prescriptions to bring out the best possible results from them, the paper analyses APMC reform in India – its role (or lack of it), impact, challenges and future direction – as a mechanism of transforming the country's agricultural marketing system.

Keywords: *APMC reforms, agricultural marketing, e-NAM, market integration, farmer income, India*

Introduction

Most parts of the rural workforce earn a living through agriculture, which remains India's economic mainstay and makes a considerable contribution to its gross national product. Marketing dictates or determines the flow of farm produce from farmer to consumer and is a vital part of in this industry. For years, the regulated markets created by state enacting Agricultural Produce Market Committee (APMC) Acts dominated India's agricultural marketing. These laws, by requiring that agricultural products be sold through regulated markets (mandis), aimed to shelter farmers from being swindled by middlemen. Yet many of the APMC mandis actual operations reaped serious frustrations. Monopolistic characteristics, high commission fees, absence of competition and market fragmentation combined to make it difficult for farmers to conduct business with major dealers who were able to purchase at good prices.

In response to these difficulties, a series of agricultural marketing reforms were introduced in India. Direct marketing, private markets, and electronic trading platforms were included within the Model APMC Act (2003). Moreover, schemes such as the Model Agricultural Produce and Livestock Marketing (APLM) Act,2017 aimed at making trading procedures more efficient, private wholesale markets permissible, and increasing market access. Backed by strong political will, this groundbreaking project even distributes back both economies through innovations such as electronic literature on farming all at an easy click away from home. The Electronic National Agriculture Market (e-NAM), which sought to create a single digital marketplace for selling produce across state lines, has been a key initiative that has improved price discovery and reduced transaction costs.

Although these initiatives come in all shapes and sizes, in the course of their implementation, some states fully carry out reforms while others only do so haphazardly. In particular, adverse effects have arisen like a lack of infrastructure, the unacceptance by these entities, and the impossibility for people to find items. In addition to addressing the enduring challenges, the article puts forward to let this field of mutual confrontation, how to build up orderly and secure market channels and present some Suggestions on marketing policy for such a transition statement farm-ingsof them can both be effective.

The growth of Andhra Pradesh, however, was effectively stalled by this kind isolation paradoxically brought about when it succeeded in overcoming distribution costs and even being able to operate out of its own For the entire period years preceding reform

How to Cite this Article:
Sakpal, S. S. (2026). APMC Reforms and Their Impact on Agricultural Marketing Systems in India. The International Journal of Commerce Management and Business Law in International Research, 3(1), 248–251. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.19233903

APMCs used third-party, back-door sale channels that operated?outside the system?.

Objectives

1. To analyze the evolution and objectives of APMC reforms in India.
2. To assess the impact of these reforms on agricultural marketing efficiency and farmers' price realization.
3. To identify challenges in the implementation and outcomes of APMC reforms.
4. To provide policy suggestions for strengthening agricultural marketing systems.

Concept / Theoretical Framework

1) **Agricultural Marketing System:** Refers to the entire process of moving agricultural produce from farms to final consumers, involving procurement, storage, transportation, processing, and distribution.
2) **APMC (Agricultural Produce Market Committee):** A statutory body established under state laws to regulate agricultural markets. Traditional APMC structures required farmers to sell produce through regulated mandis, where licensed traders and commission agents participated in trade.
3) **APMC Reforms:** Policy measures and legislation aimed at liberalizing agricultural markets by reducing regulatory bottlenecks, promoting direct marketing, enabling private and cooperative markets, reducing transaction costs, and fostering transparency and integration.
4) **e-NAM (Electronic National Agriculture Market):** A digital trading platform linking multiple APMC mandis to create a unified national market for agricultural commodities, enhancing competition and price discovery.

Role & Impact of APMC Reforms

Role of APMC Reforms

a) Liberalizing market structures:- APMC reforms aim to remove rigid controls that force farmers to sell their produce only through regulated mandis. By allowing direct sale to consumers, processors, exporters, and retailers, farmers get more freedom of choice. This liberalization reduces dependency on traditional mandi systems, cuts unnecessary transaction costs, and minimizes delays in selling produce. As a result, farmers can select markets that offer better prices and faster payments.

b) Encouraging private and cooperative markets:- The reforms promote the entry of private players, farmer cooperatives, and Farmer Producer Organizations (FPOs) into agricultural marketing. This creates a competitive trading environment where prices are determined by demand and supply rather than monopolistic control. Private and cooperative markets also introduce better management practices, modern infrastructure, and efficient supply chains, benefiting both farmers and consumers.

c) Integrating markets through digital platforms like e-NAM :- One of the major roles of APMC reforms is the integration of markets through Electronic National Agriculture Market (e-NAM). e-NAM connects multiple mandis across different states on a single digital platform. This integration ensures transparent price discovery, reduces information gaps, and allows farmers to compare prices across markets. It also promotes uniform quality standards and electronic trading, making agricultural marketing more efficient.

d) Simplifying licensing and reducing regulatory restrictions:- APMC reforms simplify licensing procedures by introducing single-point licenses and reducing multiple market fees. This encourages traders, processors, and exporters to participate freely in agricultural markets. Simplified regulations attract private investment in marketing infrastructure such as cold storage, warehouses, grading facilities, and logistics, which strengthens the overall agricultural marketing system.

Impact of APMC Reforms

a) Expanded access to multiple buyers :- APMC reforms allow farmers to sell their produce to multiple buyers instead of a limited group of local traders. This increased buyer access improves competition and gives farmers better bargaining power, leading to improved price realization and reduced exploitation.

b) Improved market efficiency and transparency :- The introduction of digital platforms and unified market mechanisms enhances transparency in pricing, quality assessment, and transactions. Farmers receive real-time price information, reducing the role of middlemen and ensuring fair trade. Overall market efficiency improves due to faster transactions and better coordination.

c) Reduction in monopoly of intermediaries :- In reformed markets, the traditional dominance of commission agents and intermediaries is reduced. Farmers can directly connect with buyers, lowering commission charges and transaction costs. This ensures a larger share of the final price reaches the farmer.

d) Wider market reach and increased competition :- APMC reforms enable farmers to sell their produce beyond local and regional boundaries. Interstate and national-level trade increases competition, stabilizes prices, and supports the vision of "One Nation, One Market". This expanded market reach also helps farmers manage price fluctuations and market risks.

Challenges of APMC Reforms in India

1. Uneven Implementation Across States:- Agricultural marketing is a State subject, so adoption of APMC reforms varies widely across India. While some states have amended their APMC Acts to allow private markets, direct marketing, and e-trading, others still follow traditional restrictive mandi systems. This uneven implementation prevents the creation of a truly unified national market and limits the benefits of reforms to farmers in certain regions only.

2. Resistance from Market Intermediaries:- Commission agents, traders, and mandi operators often oppose APMC reforms as these reduce their monopoly and income. Such resistance leads to strikes, protests, and political pressure, which slow down or dilute reform measures. This resistance creates an unfavorable environment for reform implementation.

3. Inadequate Market Infrastructure:- Most agricultural markets lack essential infrastructure such as modern warehouses, cold storage facilities, grading units, testing laboratories, and efficient transport systems. Without these facilities, farmers cannot store produce to wait for better prices and are forced into distress sales, reducing the effectiveness of marketing reforms.

4. Digital Divide and Low Awareness:- Reforms like **e-NAM** rely heavily on digital platforms. However, many small and marginal farmers lack access to smartphones, internet connectivity, and digital literacy. In addition, limited awareness about new marketing options prevents farmers from fully utilizing reformed market systems.

5. Fragmented Agricultural Markets:- Despite reforms, agricultural markets remain fragmented due to varying market fees, taxes, quality standards, and licensing rules across states. This fragmentation increases transaction costs and discourages interstate trade, restricting price discovery and competition.

6. Lack of Farmer Bargaining Power:- Even after reforms, individual farmers—especially small and marginal ones—often lack bargaining power against large buyers and private players. Without collective strength, they are unable to negotiate better prices, limiting income improvement.

Suggestions for Strengthening APMC Reforms

1. Uniform Adoption of APMC Reforms:- There should be greater coordination between the Central and State governments to ensure uniform adoption of model APMC reforms. Harmonizing laws across states will help in creating "One Nation, One Market", allowing farmers to sell produce freely across India.

2. Strengthening Market Infrastructure:- Public and private investment must be increased in rural infrastructure such as cold chains, warehouses, processing units, grading and packaging facilities. Better infrastructure will reduce post-harvest losses, improve product quality, and enhance farmers' income.

3. Promoting Farmer Awareness and Training:- Governments should conduct awareness campaigns, training programs, and digital literacy workshops to educate farmers about new marketing platforms, price discovery mechanisms, and legal rights. Well-informed farmers can make better marketing decisions.

4. Expanding and Improving e-NAM:- The e-NAM platform should be strengthened by improving internet connectivity in rural areas, integrating more mandis, and simplifying the trading process. Linking e-NAM with logistics, warehousing, and banking services will further enhance its usefulness.

5. Encouraging Farmer Producer Organizations (FPOs):- Promoting Farmer Producer Organizations (FPOs) can help farmers aggregate produce, reduce transaction costs, and improve bargaining power. FPOs enable small farmers to compete effectively in liberalized markets.

6. Inclusive Policy Making:- Stakeholders such as farmers, traders, commission agents, and private players should be involved in policy formulation. Inclusive dialogue can reduce resistance, build trust, and ensure smooth implementation of reforms.

Conclusion

India's APMC reforms mark an important step toward modernizing and liberalizing the agricultural marketing system by expanding market access and improving price realization for farmers. Through policy initiatives such as the Model APMC and APLM Acts and the introduction of the Electronic National Agriculture Market (e-NAM), agricultural markets have become more transparent, competitive, and integrated across states. These reforms have reduced excessive regulatory controls, encouraged private participation, and provided farmers with alternative marketing channels beyond traditional mandis. As a result, opportunities for better price discovery and reduced transaction costs have increased.

However, the effectiveness of APMC reforms remains uneven due to persistent challenges such as fragmented market structures, inadequate physical and digital infrastructure, resistance from entrenched intermediaries, and limited awareness among small and marginal farmers. Variations in state-level implementation further restrict the development of a unified national market. To fully realize the potential of these reforms, coordinated policy implementation, investment in storage and logistics infrastructure, expansion of digital connectivity, and capacity-building initiatives for farmers are essential. Promoting Farmer Producer Organizations and strengthening monitoring mechanisms can further enhance reform outcomes. With focused interventions and collaborative efforts among stakeholders, APMC reforms can significantly enhance farmer welfare, reduce market inefficiencies, and support the long-term sustainability of India's agricultural economy.

Acknowledgement

The author expresses sincere gratitude to the management and academic authorities of D. G. Tatkare Mahavidyalay, Mangaon, Raigad, for providing the necessary academic support and encouragement for carrying out this research work.

The author also acknowledges the valuable information and insights obtained from various research articles, government reports, and online resources related to agricultural marketing and APMC reforms in India. These secondary sources significantly contributed to the development and completion of this study.

Special thanks are extended to fellow scholars, colleagues, and researchers whose published works provided important guidance and understanding of the subject.

Financial support and sponsorship

Nil.

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this paper

References

1. “Agricultural Marketing Reforms in India: An Evaluation” – UPPCS MAGAZINE.
2. “One nation, one market model is the reform required to deal with issues related to agricultural marketing” – Civilsdaily.
3. “APMC Full Form, Meaning, Objectives, Reforms, E-NAM” – PWOnlyIAS.
4. “APMC Act Revision – GKToday.”
5. “Framework On Agricultural Marketing In India” – PWOnlyIAS.
6. “Agricultural Marketing Reforms In India: Challenges & The Future Outlook” – Farmonaut.
7. Times of India reports on APMC issues & reforms.