Manuscript ID:
TIJCMBLIR-2025-020618

Volume: 2

Issue: 6

Month: December

Year: 2025

E-ISSN: 3065-9191

Submitted: 10 Nov. 2025

Revised: 25 Nov. 2025

Accepted: 15 Dec. 2025

Published: 31 Dec. 2025

Address for correspondence:
Saravanan B.
Research Scholar, Department of Management Studies, School of Commerce and Management, Bharath Institute of Higher Education and Research, Selaiyur, Chennai
Email:
thejasarya.1614@gmail.com

DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.18933049

DOI Link:
https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.18933049

Ethical Use of HR Data and AI: Safeguarding Employee Trust in The Age of Analytics and Automation

Saravanan B.[1], Dr. Gowtham Aashirwad Kumar[2]
[1]Research Scholar, Department of Management Studies, School of Commerce and Management, Bharath Institute of Higher Education and Research, Selaiyur, Chennai
[2]Associate Professor, Department of Management Studies, School of Commerce and Management, Bharath Institute of Higher Education and Research, Selaiyur, Chennai

Abstract

The rapid expansion of data-driven Human Resource Management (HRM) and the integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) into workforce processes have reshaped how organisations make decisions about recruitment, performance management, employee engagement, and workforce planning. While these tools offer significant advantages in terms of efficiency, accuracy, and predictive capability, they have simultaneously raised complex ethical concerns relating to privacy, transparency, consent, algorithmic bias, and the erosion of employee trust. As organisations collect increasing amounts of sensitive employee data—from biometrics and productivity analytics to behavioural insights—the risk of misuse or unintended harm becomes substantial. Building and maintaining trust therefore becomes a strategic imperative. This paper examines the ethical dimensions of HR data and AI usage, with a specific focus on how organisations operating in the digital era can balance innovation with responsible governance. The study synthesises insights from existing literature, identifies the gaps in ethical practices, and investigates how transparent communication, strong data governance frameworks, fairness auditing of AI systems, and participatory decision-making can support sustainable trust. Using a descriptive research design and a survey-based approach, this study analyses employee perceptions of ethical HR data practices across selected emerging-market organisations. Findings indicate that while employees recognise the efficiency benefits of AI-enabled HR practices, trust declines when data is collected without clarity on purpose or when algorithmic decisions appear opaque or biased. The paper concludes with practical recommendations for HR leaders, including the need for ethics-by-design frameworks, implementation of explainable AI, employee participation in data-related decisions, and rigorous compliance with data protection standards. By adopting responsible data practices, organisations can mitigate risks and strengthen long-term employee trust in the age of analytics and automation.

***Keywords**: Ethical HRM, HR Data Governance, Artificial Intelligence in HR, Employee Trust, Data Privacy, Algorithmic Bias, Workplace Analytics, Automation Ethics, Predictive HR,Responsible AI.*

Introduction

Data and AI technologies have become central to modern workforce management. Organisations increasingly rely on AI-enabled tools to screen job applicants, track employee sentiment, predict turnover, monitor productivity, and support strategic decisions regarding resourcing and development. These advancements signal a significant shift from traditional, intuition-driven HRM towards evidence-based decision-making. However, as AI systems become embedded into everyday HR functions, the ethical implications associated with data extraction, algorithmic decisions, and automated monitoring have come under intense scrutiny.Employees today are more aware of how their personal information is being used, stored, and shared. Data breaches, biased algorithms, and opaque decision-making processes have raised legitimate concerns about fairness and autonomy. Moreover,automation has created fears of job displacement and heightened anxiety about the role of technology in shaping career outcomes. For HR leaders, balancing technological innovation with employee well-being and trust is no longer optional. Ethical leadership must guide how organisations deploy AI and handle data. This paper explores these issues in depth, examining the foundations of ethical HR data usage, employee expectations, and strategies that organisations can use to safeguard trust while maximising the benefits of analytics and automation.

How to Cite this Article:
Saravanan B., & Kumar, G. A. (2025). Ethical Use of HR Data and AI: Safeguarding Employee Trust in The Age of Analytics and Automation. The International Journal of Commerce Management and Business Law in International Research, 2(6), 88–91. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.18933049

Review of Literature

Researchers have increasingly focused on the intersection of HR analytics, AI, and ethical governance. Early studies highlight the potential of data analytics to enhance decision-making accuracy (Davenport, Harris & Shapiro, 2010), yet concerns over privacy and fairness remain central (Solon, 2019). Dineen and Allen (2016) argue that algorithmic recruitment systems may unintentionally embed historical biases, affecting equity and diversity outcomes. Privacy-related literature stresses the necessity of informed consent and transparency in data collection (Bennett, 2020). Employees express discomfort when data is collected without clear communication or when monitoring crosses personal boundaries (Ball, 2010). The rise of workplace surveillance technologies, such as biometric time-tracking and productivity monitoring, has further amplified ethical debate.

AI governance studies emphasise fairness, accountability, and explainability. Mittelstadt et al. (2016) emphasise the moral challenges posed by black-box AI models, while Barocas & Selbst (2016) highlight discrimination risks in algorithmic decision-making. Contemporary HRM literature also emphasises the value of trust as a foundation for effective organisational functioning. Mayer et al. (1995) define trust as the willingness to be vulnerable to the actions of another party, based on expectations of ethical and fair treatment. Recent studies reveal that employees are more likely to accept AI-driven HR decisions when organisations communicate the purpose of data collection, implement strong data governance policies, and offer channels for redress (Meijerink et al., 2021). Emerging-market contexts face additional challenges, including limited regulatory enforcement, cultural differences in privacy expectations, and lower technological literacy.

Overall, the literature shows a clear link between transparent ethical practices and employee trust, yet many organisations continue to struggle with balancing efficiency and ethical responsibility.

Objectives of Study

Primary

To examine how ethical HR data and AI practices influence employee trust in organisations adopting analytics and automation.

Secondary Objectives:

1. To analyse employee perceptions of data privacy, transparency, and consent in HR processes.
2. To identify key ethical risks associated with AI-enabled HR decision-making.
3. To assess the effectiveness of organisational data governance policies in safeguarding trust.
4. To explore strategies that HR leaders can adopt to implement responsible and ethical AI in workforce management.
5. To provide recommendations for enhancing employee trust in data-driven HR systems.

Research Methodology

The study adopts a descriptive research methodology to explore employee perceptions and organisational practices related to HR data ethics and AI usage. This approach supports understanding of current attitudes, challenges, and expectations without manipulating variables. Both primary and secondary data sources were utilised.

Primary Data: Collected through a structured questionnaire distributed to employees across selected organisations in emerging-market contexts.

Secondary Data: Derived from academic journals, books, industry reports, and HR technology frameworks. The nature of the methodology enables triangulation, enhancing reliability and validity of findings by combining empirical insights with theoretical grounding.

Research Design and Sampling Size

A survey-based cross-sectional design was used. Respondents were asked to evaluate their organisation's data practices and the extent of transparency and fairness in AI-driven HR processes. The questionnaire included Likert-scale items covering dimensions such as:

- Perceived data privacy
- Transparency of HR analytics
- Fairness and non-discrimination
- Trust in AI systems
- Awareness of data usage policies
- Acceptance of predictive HR tools

The design supports quantitative analysis to identify trends and relationships.

A purposive sampling method was used to target employees working in organisations that actively use HR analytics and AI tools. A sample of **120 employees** from IT, manufacturing, retail, and service sectors was selected. Out of these, 105 responses were completed and found valid for analysis.

Data Analysis

Data collected from the survey responses was analysed using descriptive statistics, including mean scores, percentages, and frequency distributions. Key analytical observations are summarised below:

1. **Perception of Data Privacy:**58% of respondents expressed concern about how their personal data is stored and used. Only 32% believed that their organisations provided adequate transparency.
2. **Trust in AI-Driven Decisions:** While 64% acknowledged that AI improves decision-making efficiency, only 41% fully trusted its fairness. Concerns centred around bias and lack of explainability.
3. **Awareness of Data Governance Policies:** Just 28% were familiar with their organisation's data protection policies, indicating a significant communication gap.
4. **Comfort with Workplace Monitoring:**52% felt uncomfortable with digital monitoring tools used for productivity analysis.
5. **Perceived Fairness:** Employees were more accepting of AI decisions in recruitment and

performance appraisal when given explanations foroutcomes.

6. **Relationship between Transparency and Trust:** Statistical patterns showed a positive correlation higher transparency resulted in significantly higher levels of trust toward HR technology.

Findings

1. Lack of clarity on what data is collected and how decisions are made reduces acceptance of analytics and AI tools.
2. Employees fear misuse of sensitive data. Transparency is the strongest predictor of employee trust. Uncertainty regarding storage, retention, and third-party sharing creates anxiety.
3. Algorithmic bias is a major concern. Respondents expressed doubts about fairness in AI-based recruitment and performance scoring systems.
4. Data protection policies are poorly communicated. A majority of employees were unaware of organisational guidelines, despite their importance for compliance.
5. Trust increases when employees feel involved. Participating in data governance discussions or being informed about AI systems boosts confidence.
6. Ethical AI frameworks are often missing. Many organisations still lack structured mechanisms for bias audits or explainable AI.
7. Privacy expectations vary across sectors.IT employees were more accepting of analytics than manufacturing and retail sector workers.

   0

   Ethical lapses directly impact employer branding. Respondents indicated they would lose confidence in leadership if data misuse occurred.

Suggestions

1. Implement Clear and Transparent Data Governance Policies. Organisations must ensure employees understand what personal data is collected, why it is collected, how it will be used, and who has access.
2. Adopt Ethics-by-Design AI Systems.AI tools should incorporate fairness checks, explainability features, and bias-mitigation strategies from the design phase onward.
3. Provide Regular Training on Data Literacy and AI Ethics. Employees should be educated on their rights and responsibilities regarding workplace data.
4. Establish Employee Consent and Opt-In Mechanisms.HR teams must ensure data collection aligns with legal and ethical consent frameworks.
5. Conduct Regular Algorithmic Audits. Independent verification of HR algorithms is essential to ensure fairness and prevent discrimination.
6. Enhance Communication and Participation. Inviting employees to conversations around data practices fosters transparency and ownership.
7. Limit Intrusive Monitoring. Productivity analytics should be used minimally and ethically, avoiding unnecessary surveillance.
8. Comply with Global Data Protection Standards. Align HR data practices with GDPR, ISO standards, and local data privacy laws.
9. Establish an AI Ethics Committee. Cross-functional oversight can monitor risks and intervene when necessary. Promote Fairness and Human Oversight. Automated decisions should always include opportunities for human review.

Conclusion

As HR functions evolve in the age of analytics and automation, ethical data management and AI governance have become fundamental to organisational sustainability. The study underscores that employee trust is fragile yet critical for the successful adoption of AI-driven HR practices. While employees appreciate efficiency gains from technologies, they remain wary of privacy intrusions, surveillance, and biased decisions. Ethical frameworks, transparent communication, responsible governance, and human-centred AI design are essential to overcoming these barriers. Organisations that prioritise ethical use of HR data will not only comply with regulatory expectations but also strengthen their employer brand, enhance employee engagement, and foster long-term trust. Ultimately, the future of digital HRM depends on balancing innovation with integrity, ensuring that technology empowers rather than compromises the workforce.

Acknowledgment

The authors would like to express their sincere gratitude to Bharath Institute of Higher Education and Research, Chennai for providing the necessary facilities and support to carry out this research. I also thank Dr. Gowtham Aashirwad Kumar of Department of Management Studies, Bharath Institute of Higher Education and Research, Chennai for their valuable guidance and insightful suggestions during the course of this study.

Financial support and sponsorship

Nil.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

References

1. Ball, K. (2010). Workplace surveillance: An overview.
2. Barocas, S., & Selbst, A. (2016). Big data's disparate impact.
3. Bennett, C. (2020). Privacy and data governance in the digital age.
4. Davenport, T., Harris, J., & Shapiro, J. (2010). Competing on talent analytics.
5. Dineen, B., & Allen, D. (2016). Third-party recruitment and algorithmic tools.
6. Meijerink, J., et al. (2021). People analytics and employee reactions.
7. Mayer, R., Davis, J., & Schoorman, F. (1995). Model of organisational trust.

8. Mittelstadt, B., et al. (2016). Ethical challenges of algorithms.
9. Solon, L. (2019). Data ethics and organisational responsibility.
10. ILO Report on AI at Work (2023).
11. SHRM (2022). Ethics in HR Analytics.
12. OECD (2021). AI principles and responsible innovation.
13. ISO/IEC 27001 Data Security Framework (2020).
14. GDPR Compliance Guidelines (2018).
15. World Economic Forum (2022). Future of Jobs Report.