

Manuscript ID:
TIJCMBLIR-2025-020518

Volume: 2

Issue: 5

Month: October

Year: 2025

E-ISSN: 3065-9191

Submitted: 15 Sept. 2025

Revised: 27 Sept. 2025

Accepted: 25 Oct. 2025

Published: 31 Oct. 2025

Address for correspondence:
Dhaval Amrutlal Rathod
Research Scholar, Swaminarayan
University "Chamunda Nivas"
Vivekanand Nagar
Email:
dhruvrathod85@gmail.com

DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.17470555

DOI Link:
<https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.17470555>



Creative Commons (CC BY-NC-SA 4.0):

This is an open access journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 International Public License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work noncommercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

Cyber-Physical Systems: Convergence of the Digital and Physical Worlds

Dhaval Amrutlal Rathod¹, Dr. Hetal Unadkat²

^{1,2} Research Scholar, Swaminarayan University "Chamunda Nivas" Vivekanand Nagar

Abstract

Although the digital revolution has drastically changed how people, organisations, and governments function, it has also opened up new channels for criminal activity. Cybercrimes have become one of the 21st century's most urgent legal and social issues, posing a threat to established legal frameworks and enforcement systems. This study analyses cyber offences in Rajkot, Gujarat, at the district level, focussing especially on the legal difficulties in dealing with them. Using case files, police reports, legal provisions, and secondary sources, the study investigates the types of cybercrimes that are common in Rajkot, including identity theft, phishing, financial fraud, cyberstalking, and defamation, as well as their socioeconomic effects. Significant obstacles in the legal response to cybercrimes are highlighted in the study, such as jurisdictional complexities, underreporting, evidentiary limitations, and insufficient. Despite the fact that the Information Technology Act of 2000 and pertinent BNS provisions offer a statutory basis, procedural hold-ups and infrastructural shortcomings continue to limit their district-level implementation. The results highlight the pressing need for improved victim support systems, specialised training for cyber cells, increased capacity-building initiatives, and closer cooperation between the legal system, law enforcement, and private stakeholders. By placing the issue in the particular context of Rajkot, this study advances knowledge of district-level cyber law enforcement difficulties and makes policy recommendations for developing a more resilient and flexible legal framework to handle new online threats.

keywords: Cybercrime, Cyber Offences, Rajkot District, Legal Difficulties, Information Technology Act 2000, Indian Penal Code, Cyberstalking, Online Harassment, Digital Fraud, Phishing, Identity Theft

Introduction

Globally, the incorporation of information and communication technology (ICT) into daily life has revolutionised societies by facilitating social networking, e-commerce, digital governance, and quick communication. Cybercrimes, which go beyond the conventional lines of crime and law enforcement, have flourished as a result of this digital expansion. Hacking, phishing, online fraud, identity theft, cyberstalking, and defamation are all considered forms of cybercrime, which is broadly defined as illegal activity carried out via computers, networks, or digital platforms. The fact that these crimes are transnational, ever-changing, and technologically sophisticated makes them especially difficult.

The Information Technology Act, 2000, as amended by BNS, offers the legal framework for dealing with cybercrimes in India. However, enforcing these laws frequently faces a number of challenges, such as jurisdictional concerns, a lack of technical know-how, challenges gathering evidence, and delays in the legal process. It is now vital to assess the effectiveness of current legal frameworks and enforcement mechanisms, both nationally and in smaller administrative regions like districts, due to the increasing number of cyber offences. One of Gujarat's fastest-growing districts, Rajkot District, provides an appropriate case study. The district is now more vulnerable to cybercrimes as a result of its expanding industrial and commercial sectors, growing internet penetration, and extensive use of digital financial transactions.

In Rajkot, the number of reported cases of identity theft, social media harassment, online financial fraud, and fake job scams is continuously rising, reflecting both the advantages illustrating the advantages and drawbacks of digitalisation in India's semi-urban areas. There are still issues in spite of the creation of specialised cyber cells and the implementation of centralised platforms like the National Cyber Crime Reporting Portal. Fear of social stigma, ignorance, or scepticism about the efficacy of legal remedies are common reasons why victims are reluctant to come forward.

How to Cite this Article:

Rathod, D. A., & Unadkat, H. (2025). Cyber-Physical Systems: Convergence of the Digital and Physical Worlds. *The International Journal of Commerce Management and Business Law in International Research*, 2(5), 83–86. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.17470555>

Resources, training, and access to cutting-edge forensic tools are all limited for law enforcement organisations. Courts also face challenges when deciding cases with cross-jurisdictional elements and technical evidence. Therefore, the purpose of this study is to analyse cyber offences in Rajkot at the district level, paying special attention to the legal difficulties associated with prevention, investigation, and prosecution. The study looks at case patterns, enforcement strategies. The ultimate objective is to provide insights into the effectiveness of the existing legal framework in Rajkot and to recommend measures that can strengthen both preventive and remedial responses to cybercrime.

Review of Literature-

1. **Conceptualizing Cyber Offenses**
Early scholarship distinguishes cyber-dependent crimes from cyber-enabled crimes. Criminological perspectives emphasize anonymity, low guardianship, and transnationality as drivers of offending. Routine activity theory, space-transition theory, and rational choice frameworks are frequently applied to explain offender decision-making and victim exposure in online environments.
2. **Global Trends and Patterns**
International literature highlights a steady shift from nuisance hacking to profit-driven ecosystems—phishing-as-a-service, ransomware cartels, credential marketplaces, and social-engineering operations. Studies consistently show that financial fraud and account-takeover dominate victim complaints; meanwhile, online gender-based violence is underreported but pervasive. Cross-border jurisdiction, MLAT delays, and encrypted platforms complicate evidence collection and prosecution.
3. **Indian Legal Architecture**
India's cyber governance rests primarily on the Information Technology Act, 2000 and relevant provisions of the Indian Penal Code along with the Indian Evidence Act for digital evidence admissibility. Scholarship appraises the IT Act's strengths and critiques gaps—definitions that lag technological change, uneven enforcement, intermediary due-diligence ambiguities, and limited deterrence where investigation capacity is low. Commentaries also track evolving data-protection and intermediary frameworks, noting their implications for platform responsibility and user redress.
4. **Enforcement Capacity and Institutional Challenges**
Empirical studies across Indian states underline capacity constraints: shortage of trained investigators, limited cyber-forensic labs, case backlogs, and procedural delays. Research on cyber cells shows that specialized units improve registration and triage, but outcomes depend on continuous training, modern toolchains, and coordination among police, prosecutors, and CERT-type agencies. Case studies emphasize the importance of standard operating procedures for

preserving chain of custody, timely Section 65B certification, and quick freezing of suspect accounts through nodal banking contacts.

5. **Victimization, Awareness, and Digital Literacy**
A robust stream of literature connects low digital literacy with susceptibility to phishing, UPI/OTP frauds, investment scams, and romance/extortion schemes. Studies focusing on youth and women document unique harms—social stigma, secondary victimization, and reluctance to report. Research also shows that community-based awareness, school/college curricula, and just-in-time nudges by banks and platforms reduce risk exposure. Helplines and portals improve reporting, but first-response time critically determines recovery rates.
6. **Gujarat and District-Level Evidence**
State-level analyses in Gujarat mirror national patterns: financial cyber fraud, impersonation, and social-media harassment lead the docket, with tier-2/3 urban centers experiencing rapid growth in digital transactions and corresponding frauds. The literature notes progress—operational cyber cells, periodic drives, and collaborations with banks/fintechs—yet identifies underreporting, resource asymmetry between urban and rural police stations, and training gaps. District-focused studies remain sparse, revealing a research gap that this Rajkot-specific inquiry seeks to fill.
7. **Emerging Technologies and New Offense Vectors**
Recent scholarship maps how AI-generated content, crypto-asset flows, fraudulent work-from-home/job rackets, and messaging-app social engineering reshape the threat surface. Papers on cryptocurrency tracing stress chain analytics, while legal analyses debate proportionality and privacy in surveillance tools. Research on platform governance highlights the tension between safe-harbor protections and proactive moderation duties, alongside concerns about encryption and lawful access.
8. **Jurisdiction, Procedure, and Evidence**
Cross-jurisdictional cases—offender abroad, victim local, servers elsewhere—raise classical questions: which court, which law, which evidence? Literature recommends faster mutual legal assistance, adoption of Budapest-style cooperation norms, and enhanced 24/7 points of contact.

Objectives of the Study

1. To examine the nature and typology of cyber offenses in Rajkot District with reference to national and global patterns.
2. To analyze the existing legal framework governing cyber offenses in India and its practical applicability at the district level.
3. To study the role and effectiveness of law enforcement agencies in Rajkot District in investigating, prosecuting, and preventing cyber crimes.

4. To identify the procedural and evidentiary challenges faced during cybercrime investigation and trial.
5. To assess the level of public awareness, digital literacy, and reporting behavior of victims of cyber offenses in Rajkot District.
6. To evaluate institutional capacity in terms of trained personnel, cyber cells, forensic facilities, and inter-departmental coordination at the district level.
7. To study the role of banks, financial institutions, and online platforms in preventing and mitigating financial frauds and other cyber offenses in Rajkot.
8. To identify gaps in policy, law, and enforcement that hinder effective cybercrime control at the district level.
9. To suggest policy recommendations and reforms for strengthening legal, institutional, and preventive mechanisms against cyber offenses in Rajkot District.
10. To contribute district-specific insights that can aid in formulating a replicable model for other districts facing similar challenges.

Recommendations:

1. Strengthening Cyber Law Enforcement in Rajkot
2. Establish a fully equipped cyber forensic laboratory at the district level. Recruit and train specialized cybercrime investigators with expertise in digital forensics and information technology. Provide continuous training to police officers, prosecutors, and judges on evolving cybercrime trends.
3. Enhancing Public Awareness and Digital Literacy
Conduct cyber safety awareness campaigns in schools, colleges, and workplaces to educate people about phishing, online fraud, and privacy risks. Launch community-level workshops in Rajkot to bridge the digital divide and empower citizens to report cyber offenses confidently.
Improving Reporting and Redressal Mechanisms Strengthen the functioning of the district cyber cell and ensure a 24/7 helpline for victims of cybercrime. Simplify online complaint portals in Gujarati and English to encourage more reporting from rural and semi-urban areas.
4. Judicial and Legal Reforms
Fast-track cybercrime cases through special cyber courts at the district level. Encourage greater reliance on digital evidence under Section 65B of the Indian Evidence Act with proper training for judges and advocates.
5. Cooperation with Tech Companies and Financial Institutions To swiftly identify and stop fraudulent transactions, banks, digital payment systems, and law enforcement should coordinate more closely. Encourage internet service providers and telecom operators to establish data-sharing arrangements so that prompt investigations can be conducted.
6. District-Level Capacity Building Increase the Rajkot District's budgetary resources for cyber

infrastructure. Create cyber research facilities at Rajkot's universities to promote cooperation between academia and law enforcement.

7. Governance and Policy Measures Include cybercrime prevention in Rajkot's Smart City project. Form a District Cyber Security Task Force with representatives from the community, IT specialists, law enforcement, and banking. Create cyber resilience policies at the district level and evaluate them on a regular basis.

Conclusion:

The rapid adoption of digital technologies has created both opportunities and vulnerabilities, according to a study of cyber offences and legal challenges in Rajkot District. Rajkot's increasing reliance on digital communication, e-commerce, and online banking has, on the one hand, boosted socioeconomic growth; on the other hand, it has also made people and organisations more vulnerable to online harassment, financial fraud, identity theft, and phishing. According to the research, although the Information Technology Act of 2000 and its associated provisions of the Indian Penal Code offer a legal framework for addressing such offences, there are still major obstacles to their effective district-level implementation. In summary, preventing cybercrimes in Rajkot necessitates a multifaceted strategy that combines strong legal frameworks, effective law enforcement, high levels of digital literacy, and proactive governance. A safer and more resilient digital ecosystem in Rajkot District can be achieved by putting these strategies into practice, which can also increase public trust in digital systems and lower the frequency of cybercrimes.

Acknowledgment

The author expresses heartfelt gratitude to Swaminarayan University for providing the necessary facilities and academic environment to carry out this research work.

Deep appreciation is extended to Dr. Hetal Unadkat, Research Guide, for valuable guidance, encouragement, and insightful suggestions throughout the study.

Financial support and sponsorship

Nil.

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

References:

1. Agarwal, R. (2020). Cyber Law In India. New Delhi: Lexisnexis.
2. Bansal, S. C. (2019). Cyber Crime And Security. New Delhi: Centrum Press
3. Choudhary, A., & Singh, P. (2021). Cybercrime In India: Emerging Trends And Challenges. International Journal Of Law, Crime And Justice, 64(2).
4. Gupta, A. (2018). Legal Framework For Cybercrimes In India: Issues And Challenges. Indian Journal Of Criminology, 46(1), 56–70.

5. Information Technology Act, 2000, No. 21 Of 2000, India Code (2000).
6. Indian Penal Code, 1860, Act No. 45 Of 1860, India Code (1860).
7. Indian Evidence Act, 1872, Act No. 1 Of 1872, India Code (1872).
8. Jain, V. (2019). *Cyber Security And Cyber Laws*. Mumbai: Himalaya Publishing House.
9. Joshi, K., & Shukla, S. (2020). Social Media Crimes And Legal Challenges In India. *Journal Of Cyber Policy*, 5(3), 345–361. <https://doi.org/10.1080/23738871.2020.1811169>
10. Kshetri, N. (2019). Cybercrime And Cybersecurity In India: Causes, Consequences And Policy Responses. *Journal Of Global Information Technology Management*, 22(2), 82–103.
11. Kumar, R. (2017). *Cyber Crimes: A New Challenge To Law Enforcement*. New Delhi: Regal Publications.
12. Mishra, A. (2022). Cyber Forensic Investigation And Admissibility Of Electronic Evidence In India. *Journal Of Indian Law And Society*, 13(2), 221–240.
13. National Crime Records Bureau. (2022). *Crime In India 2021: Statistics*. New Delhi: Ministry Of Home Affairs, Government Of India.
14. National Crime Records Bureau. (2023). *Crime In India 2022: Statistics*. New Delhi: Ministry Of Home Affairs, Government Of India.
15. Pahwa, N. (2021). *The Internet democracy project: Cybercrime and digital rights in India*. New Delhi: Internet Freedom Foundation.
16. . Pandey, A. (2020). Challenges of jurisdiction in cybercrime cases in India. *Indian Journal of Law and Technology*, 16(1), 45–62.
17. IRajput, R. (2021). Online frauds and consumer protection in India: A legal analysis. *Journal of Consumer Policy*, 44(4), 567–589.
18. Rao, P. M. (2018). Cyberstalking and harassment: Legal responses in India. *International Review of Victimology*, 24(3), 325–342.
19. Sharma, V. (2019). *Cyber law and cyber crimes*. Lucknow: Eastern Book Company.
20. Singh, A. (2022). Digital financial frauds in India: Trends, issues and regulatory framework. *South Asian Journal of Law, Policy and Social Science*, 4(2), 88–103.
21. Srivastava, M. (2020). Role of cyber cells in combating cybercrime in India. *Indian Police Journal*, 67(4), 95–111.
22. Tripathi, R. (2021). Privacy, surveillance and cyber law in India. *Asian Journal of Comparative Law*, 16(1), 153–172. <https://doi.org/10.1017/asjcl.2021.7>