

Manuscript ID:
TIJCMBLIR-2025-020513

Volume: 2
Issue: 5
Month: October
Year: 2025
E-ISSN: 3065-9191

Submitted: 11 Sept. 2025

Revised: 26 Sept. 2025

Accepted: 20 Oct. 2025

Published: 31 Oct. 2025

Address for correspondence:
Dr. Latika Dnyaneshwar Gaikwad
Associate Professor, School of Commerce & Management,
Yashwantrao Chavan Maharashtra Open University, Nashik, Maharashtra
Email: ajbani.la@ycmou.ac.in

DOI: [10.5281/zenodo.17464738](https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.17464738)

DOI Link:
<https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.17464738>



Creative Commons (CC BY-NC-SA 4.0):

This is an open access journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 International Public License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work noncommercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.



Impact of Hybrid Work Models on Employee Productivity

Dr. Latika Dnyaneshwar Gaikwad

Associate Professor, School of Commerce & Management,
Yashwantrao Chavan Maharashtra Open University, Nashik, Maharashtra

Abstract

The Covid19 pandemic bought tremendous changes in our day to day life. One of these changes was shifting from traditional nine to five office hours to work from home which bought lots of flexibility. This scenario compelled organisations to rethink about the traditional work practices and accept hybrid work practices as a best response to the emerging challenges during and post pandemic period. This response during the emergency has been turned into a strategy by many organisations by integrating elements of in-person work and remote working. Hybrid work brings in many opportunities and win-win situation for both employees and employers as it opens the door for recruiting talent beyond any geographical limitation and minimising dependency due to commutation problems and getting expertise skills without any geographical barriers. This hybrid work model escalation has drastically reformed employees productivity across various industries. Nevertheless, though hybrid work model seems very promising, it has various challenges ranging from interpersonal disconnects to logistics. As this model has reshaped organisational structure so, it is outmost necessary to know hybrid work models influence on employee engagement, performance, and productivity. This research is based on secondary data and it critically assesses empirical findings and corporate data to explore how the integration of remote and in-office work has influence on productivity, job satisfaction, and well-being of an employee. It also discusses the multidimensional influences of hybrid setups, emphasising both the advantages such as enhanced performance and lower turnover and the complexities, including communication hurdles, disparities in experience, and weakened team dynamics. This study concludes that though hybrid work models have significant benefits, organizations must also think about and address the structural and social challenges to utilise complete potential. Eventually, hybrid work implies it is not just a logistical shift but a comprehensive rethinking of how and where work gets done. With considerate strategy and committed leadership, hybrid work models have the potential not just to maintain productivity, but to elevate it delivering sustainable value.

Keywords: Hybrid Work Models, Employee Productivity, Work-Life Balance, Organizational Structure, Employee Engagement, Remote Work, Digital Infrastructure, Leadership, Communication, Performance Evaluation, Organizational Culture

Introduction

The Covid19 pandemic bought tremendous changes in our day to day life. One of these changes was shifting from traditional nine to five office hours to work from home which has bought lots of flexibility. This scenario compelled organisations to rethink about the traditional work practices and accept hybrid work practices as a best response to the emerging challenges during and post pandemic period. This response during the emergency has been turned into a strategy by many organisations by integrating elements of in-person work and remote working. Hybrid work brings in many opportunities and win-win situation for both employees and employers as it opens the door for recruiting talent beyond any geographical limitation and minimising dependency due to commutation problems and getting expertise skills without any geographical barriers. This hybrid work model escalation has drastically reformed employees productivity across various industries. Nevertheless, though hybrid work model seems very promising, it has various challenges ranging from interpersonal disconnects to logistics. As this model has reshaped organisational structure so, it is outmost necessary to know hybrid work models influence on employee engagement, performance, and productivity.

Research Objectives:

Following are the objectives of this study:

1. To identify the positive impact of hybrid work models on employee productivity.
2. To identify the adverse impact of hybrid work models on employee productivity.
3. To discuss strategies for implementing effective hybrid work models methodology.

How to Cite this Article:

Gaikwad, L. D. (2025). Impact of Hybrid Work Models on Employee Productivity. *The International Journal of Commerce Management and Business Law in International Research (TIJCMBLIR)*, 2(5), 63-66. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.17464738>

Methodology:

This research is based on secondary data.

Positive Impact of Hybrid Work Models on Employee Productivity:

Hybrid work models help organisations to recruit and select skill based talent from different geographical areas where the talented pool might feel difficult to travel. A comprehensive study by Bloom et al. (2024) involving 1,612 employees at Trip.com revealed that implementing a hybrid schedule where staff worked remotely two days per week had no adverse effect on productivity. In fact, performance levels remained consistent with, and sometimes surpassed, those of fully in-office teams. Notably, the research also recorded a 33% decrease in turnover, particularly among non-managerial staff and employees with extended commute times. This evidence suggests that allowing individuals to operate in environments tailored to their work styles can sustain or improve productivity.

According to Selvanayagam et al. (2025), hybrid work arrangements are positively linked with heightened job satisfaction and better mental health outcomes. The added autonomy and flexibility leads towards improving the overall employee experience, contributing to both psychological well-being and professional engagement. The ability to control one's work schedule enables smoother alignment between professional responsibilities and personal life. This balance reduces stress levels and supports a healthier lifestyle, as employees are better able to manage their time and obligations. Further, it reduces travel time and cost which enhances productive time and saves energy.

Partial remote work significantly reduces commuting time and associated expenses leading to enhanced productive use of time, potentially extending effective work hours without increasing fatigue. Employee attrition rate is low because of flexibility offered in hybrid work models as it promotes work life balance Bloom et al. (2024). This gives autonomy to employees which results in more accountability, feeling of ownership and intrinsic motivation. The operational cost of the organisation is also tremendously reduced as it saves on infrastructure and utilities for employees. Further, minimum travel from residence to office reduces carbon emissions and supports sustainable development goals.

Adverse Impact of Hybrid Work Models on Employee Productivity:

Hybrid environments can often disrupt seamless communication. Delays in response, fragmented information flow, and a lack of clarity may arise especially without effective digital infrastructure. Employees not physically present may miss out on crucial updates or decision-making moments. Vorecol (2023) notes that asynchronous modes of communication, while convenient, increase the risk of misunderstandings and project slowdowns.

Additionally, remote collaboration tends to lack the spontaneity and creative momentum found in face-to-face interactions, potentially impeding innovation and fast-paced problem-solving. Remote workers may face limitations in career advancement due to reduced visibility. In-office staff are often more present in informal discussions and unplanned meetings, giving rise to "proximity bias" a tendency for managers to favour those they physically see more often (McKinsey, 2023). This can unintentionally marginalize remote employees when it comes to promotions, project involvement, and performance evaluations.

Fostering a cohesive organizational culture becomes significantly more difficult in hybrid arrangements. Fewer casual conversations and less organic interaction can weaken team spirit. For newcomers especially, integrating into company culture without frequent in-person contact is challenging.

This model also sometimes make organisational structure complex. Monitoring and managing team remotely and simultaneously maintain team spirit creates difficulties. It requires broader mind-set and different skillsets.

The Management Information System and its security needs more focus. Remotely working requires access from different networks and locations and at the same time maintaining data and information security is must. So robust security measures are required and training for the same

Discussions:

According to Bloom et al. (2024) employees who have flexibility of working remotely generally perform equal or more than those who work from office spaces. However, flexibility alone is not enough it must be complemented by clearly defined expectations, performance indicators, and accountability systems to maintain consistency in output.

Though hybrid arrangements can increase accessibility, they also risk creating inequities. Employees who give additional time on-site may receive more attention and recognition. To avoid this, organizations must implement fair evaluation systems and foster inclusive communication channels that ensure remote workers remain equally engaged and visible (McKinsey & Company, 2023). A major challenge of hybrid work is the potential dilution of workplace culture. There is limited personal interaction which affects team work. There is shift in organisational structure which requires change in leadership style for monitoring team from the distant. So, it is outmost necessary to strategically link this models with the organisational vision, mission and policies. For sustain effectiveness there must be feedback mechanism and flexibility for bring in improvements.

Summary of Insights

Focus Area	Key Insight
Flexibility & Output	When anchored by clear goals, flexibility enhances both motivation and efficiency.
Equity in Access	Ensuring fairness in opportunity requires intentional design and communication.
Social Cohesion	Active efforts are needed to preserve interpersonal connection and collaboration.
Evolving Leadership	Managers must shift to outcome-driven, trust-based leadership models.
Strategic Integration	Hybrid work aligns with long-term talent, cost, and environmental goals.

Source: Compiled by Researcher

Recommendations for Implementing Effective Hybrid Work Models:

1. A comprehensive policy must be formulated defining each and every employee's/ job positions job role, accountability, and communication channel.
2. Organisations must invest in digital infrastructure for data and information security. Protocols for digital workplace and security should be defined and updated from time to time.
3. Performance based evaluation system must be developed and adopted for all job roles. Proximity factor must not effect on appraisal and growth of employees.
4. Employee engagement and trust must be developed by manager through virtual meets and gatherings.
5. Regular need based trainings must be provided for all levels of employees for working in hybrid mode .Specific training for team leaders for effectively monitoring and appraising remote employees must be arranged. Trainings should be provided to employees regarding safe digital practices for organisation information and data security.
6. Online counselling and wellness programmes must be conducted on regular basis to promote employee health and work life balance.

Conclusion:

Hybrid work model is emerged as a transformative model in the evolving landscape of employment, by providing alternative of flexibility that supports both preferences of employees and goals of business. When reinforced by good and barrier free communications, robust digital infrastructure, and leadership which is inclusive, hybrid work models can significantly increase productivity, commitment, and employee satisfaction.

However, these advantages are not a reflex. For a long-term success, organizations must implement hybrid strategies with intention and focus on fairness, transparency, and continuous improvement. Couture this approach based on exclusive needs of the employees, frequently evaluating performance outcomes objectively, and enthusiastically face challenges such as equity and culture for sustainability.

Eventually, hybrid work implies it is not just a logistical shift but a comprehensive rethinking of how and where work gets done. With considerate strategy

and committed leadership, hybrid work models have the potential not just to maintain productivity, but to elevate it delivering sustainable value.

Acknowledgment

I would like to express my sincere gratitude to Yashwantrao Chavan Maharashtra Open University, Nashik, for providing the academic platform and resources that enabled me to carry out this research. I extend my appreciation to my colleagues, peers, and research scholars whose constructive feedback and valuable insights greatly enriched the quality of this study. Their perspectives helped me refine my ideas on hybrid work models and its growing significance in today's global economy.

Lastly, I am indebted to my family and well-wishers for their unwavering encouragement, patience, and moral support, which have been a constant source of strength during the course of this research journey.

Financial Support and Sponsorship

Nil

Conflicts of Interest

The author declares that there are no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

References:

1. Ajbani, L. (2019). *A study of customers' preference for home delivery services available for pharmaceutical products in Nashik*. *Think India Journal*, 2019.
2. Ausare, I. Y. (2024). The impact of hybrid work models on employee productivity and engagement. *ShodhKosh: Journal of Visual and Performing Arts*, 5(1). <https://doi.org/10.29121/shodhkosh.v5.i1.2024.5769>
3. Bhone, D., Patil, V., & Ajbani, L. (2019, September). *Academic awards for workplace learning*. Paper presented at Pan Commonwealth Forum (PCF9), 2019. Commonwealth of Learning.
4. Bloom, N., Han, R., & Liang, J. (2024). Hybrid working from home improves retention without damaging performance. *PubMed*. <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/38867040/>
5. Budhkar, P. S., & Salve, A. (2023). Effect of work-life balance practices and working culture on hybrid employee job satisfaction: Study on IT industry. *ANVESHAK International Journal of Management*, 12(1), 188–202. <https://doi.org/10.15410/ajim/2023/v12i1/173018>

6. Chowdhary, S. (2024). The impact of remote and hybrid work models on organizational productivity and employee well-being. *International Journal of Technology, Management and Humanities*, 10(3).
7. Gaikwad, L. D. (2024). ESG: Business responsibility and sustainability reporting in India. *Ecology, Environment and Conservation*, 30(4), 1673–1677.
8. John, B. M. (2025). The future of work: Examining the effectiveness of hybrid work models on employee productivity. *International Journal of Commerce and Management Research Studies*, 2(1). <https://doi.org/10.63090/IJCMRS/3049.1908.0009>
9. Krajčík, M., Alshatti Schmidt, D., & Baráth, M. (2023). Hybrid work model: An approach to work–life flexibility in a changing environment. *Administrative Sciences*, 13(6), Article 150. <https://doi.org/10.3390/admsci13060150>
10. McKinsey & Company. (2023). What executives are saying about the future of hybrid work. <https://www.mckinsey.com/business-functions/organization/our-insights/the-future-of-hybrid-work>
11. Muktamar, A., et al. (2024). The effect of hybrid working on employee productivity and life balance: A human resource management perspective. *ResearchGate*. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/387819030_The_Effect_of_Hybrid_Working_on_Employee_Productivity_and_Life_Balance_A_Human_Resource_Management_Perspective
12. Selvanayagam, A., Venkatakrishnan, S., & Ramkumar, N. (2025). The role of hybrid work models in enhancing employee well-being, productivity, and job satisfaction. *South Eastern European Journal of Public Health*. <https://www.seejph.com/index.php/seejph/article/view/4429>
13. Vanitha, N., & Shailashri, V. T. (2023, December). A systematic literature review on impact of hybrid work culture on employee job engagement and productivity: A study of IT professionals in Karnataka. *EPRA International Journal of Research & Development (IJRD)*, 8(12). <https://doi.org/10.36713/epra15360>
14. Vorecol. (2022). How do hybrid work models impact employee productivity and collaboration? <https://vorecol.com/blogs/blog-how-do-hybrid-work-models-impact-employee-productivity-and-collaboration-135344>
15. Vorecol. (2023). The impact of hybrid work policies on employee productivity. <https://vorecol.com/blogs/blog-the-impact-of-hybrid-work-policies-on-employee-productivity-37903>