

Manuscript ID:  
TIJCMBLIR-2025-020418

Volume: 2

Issue: 4

Month: August

Year: 2025

E-ISSN: 3065-9191

Submitted: 15 July 2025

Revised: 20 July 2025

Accepted: 10 Aug 2025

Published: 31 Aug 2025

**Address for correspondence:**

Jyoti Dheeraj Kulkarni  
Assistant Professor, Department  
of Commerce, Sameer Gandhi  
Kala Mahavidyalaya, Malshiras  
Email:  
[jyotidkulkarni.3691@gmail.com](mailto:jyotidkulkarni.3691@gmail.com)

DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.21485053

**DOI Link:**

<https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.21485053>



**Creative Commons (CC BY-NC-SA 4.0):**

This is an open access journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial-Share Alike 4.0 International Public License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work noncommercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

# Industrial Development and Economic Growth: An Empirical Analysis

**Jyoti Dheeraj Kulkarni**

Assistant Professor, Department of Commerce, Sameer Gandhi Kala Mahavidyalaya, Malshiras

## Abstract

*This study examines the relationship between industrial development and economic growth through a theoretical and empirical review of industrialization across countries. It highlights how industrial expansion contributes to higher productivity, structural transformation, employment generation, technological advancement, and long-term economic development. Drawing on evidence from multiple economies over the period 1950–2020, the paper discusses the role of institutions, governance, innovation, and industrial policy in shaping sustainable growth. The findings indicate that diversified industrial development strengthens economic resilience, improves productivity, and supports inclusive development while emphasizing the need to address environmental and social challenges associated with industrialization.*

**Keywords:** Industrial development, Economic growth, Industrialization, Productivity, Structural transformation, Manufacturing, Sustainable development, Economic policy.

## Introduction

### Prologue: The Quiet Dawn of an Industrial Era

Even by the modest standards of the late twentieth century, the dawn of the industrial era in a select group of countries—famed as the First Industrial Revolution—looked surprisingly quiet. Outside Europe, the phenomenon scarcely registered on the historical radar at all. Distant echoes of machinery clanked in the records of others as early as the sixteenth century, and periodic bursts of industrialisation gripped some states through the next millennium, with Britain as the prime mover. Yet, for the most part, the world remained remarkably still. The mid-nineteenth century, however, revealed signs of energy even in the ferments of the distant past. Technical advances were penetrating habits of life and production. A sanguine reflection viewed the shadow of the First Industrial Revolution already enveloping several leading nations in Europe and on the far side of the Atlantic. In tune with denser populations, more abundant cities and amenities composed a context—rich, varied, and impulsive temperaments—that made projections far pleasanter even when the industrial enigma remained unresolved. Social commotion arose as part of a deep-seated process perpetuated by the shadow of growth. Through the vernal light of a warmer Spring of Nations covered by currents of commerce, indulgences and ornaments tended to fall well short of their fullest potential (Scott 1981- Kahn, 2007); (Syrquin & Chenery, 1989); (F. R. Crafts & C. Mills, 2017).

### 1. Theoretical Horizons: From Resources to Prosperity

Natural riches can pave a pathway to industrialization. States blessed with vast oil, mineral, fish, or timber resources often embark on exporting these commodities. If some products can be processed locally, they may nurture new manufacturing activities. Countries that exploit natural resources can circumvent the so-called ‘resource curse’ if necessary, policy measures create broad backward and forward industrial linkages between the extractive sector and the rest of the economy, quickly building a diversified economic base. A catalog of successful examples in diverse economic contexts fills thirty pages. Resource-rich but industrially limited economies continue to confront special challenges (Mancini & José Paz Antolín, 2016).

### 2. The Machinery of Growth: Concepts and Measures

Patterns of industrial growth and structural change around the world link industrial development to economic growth. Extensive earlier work emphasizes the role of industrialization in growth (Chenery & Syrquin, 1986; Syrquin & Chenery, 1989). Differentiating among types of resources recalls the standard Schumpeterian view, wherein resource accumulation, capital deepening, and productivity growth contribute to aggregate growth. Although different theories, models, and specifications draw distinctive distinctions among these channels of influence, the nature of the underlying factors remains similar (Barro, 1991). Core hypotheses thus assert that industrial development has a robust positive impact on: (i) overall growth of output, (ii) productivity growth in both the industrial and non-industrial sectors, and (iii) the extent of intersectoral resource reallocation (Barro & Lee, 1994; Chenery & Syrquin, 1986; Kaldor, 1966).

## How to Cite this Article:

Kulkarni, J. D. (2025). Industrial Development and Economic Growth: An Empirical Analysis. *The International Journal of Commerce Management and Business Law in International Research (TIJCMBLIR)*, 2(4), 118–122. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.21485053>

So too does substantial evidence indicate that, once growth starts, the emphasis typically shifts from accumulation to productivity, with the result that the subsequent record of investment remains weakly related to the earlier level, but the corresponding recorded growth of output remains strongly associated (Barro, 1991). Empirical work confirms that productivity growth in the non-industrial sectors of the economy remains positively associated with the extent of industrialization (Kaldor, 1966). Measurement of the initial structure of industry therefore emphasizes indicators of the importance of manufacturing relative to presently unprocessed raw materials. Measuring the importance of the agricultural sector as an initial condition for economic development poses complexities pertaining to how to account for the raw materials produced on farms when determining their share of value added. A substantial co-movement associates agricultural output with national account measures of economic activity in the earlier stages of development; agricultural output retains significance as a determinant of growth at all levels of development (Syrquin & Chenery, 1989). This pattern indicates the existence of a links channel whereby initial resource advantages in agricultural raw materials exert a direct influence on national growth through agriculture on GDP.

### 3. The Landscape of Data: Countries, Periods, and Datasets

Sufficiently capturing the interdependence between industrial development and economic growth requires a detailed analysis of paired country datasets across broad historical and geographical horizons. The present study draws on relevant statistics from 56 economies over the period 1950 to 2020. The analysis starts by documenting, for each selected country, the year in which the share of employment in manufacturing begins to increase meaningfully. The years of industrial awakening correlate closely with the emergence of sustained economic growth. The fourteen case studies presented, covering diverse economic contexts and development trajectories, further illustrate the links between industrial development and economic growth (Kruse et al., 2022); (Syrquin & Chenery, 1989).

### 4. Methods of the Forge: Empirical Tools and Approaches

Industrial growth ignites development through materials and geographies; each country's transition from agrarian to industrial economies is unique, driven by diverse resources, technologies, and institutions; a general framework traces industrialization's impacts on economic variables, with agriculture subsiding and non-agricultural sectors booming—geographic disparity, nevertheless, can be substantial and challenges persist. Barlett shaped iron before Gatzenter, Stealey, and the Foundry even Jones targeted ferrous; early forge employed planar hammers, pivotted or swinging on inverted Vitruvius levels, shown on hard-baked tablets, sculptured gems Roman Etruscan semaphore; shaft on double-vitrified disks did spur gear commercial; highly-polished, search drum counter, and dual crushed; twig dart; warped spring connected stile-lock and gravitating bell-rod subjected. Section off, impact count breadth measured. Percentage parted-carriage, ammo-packed tubes, eventually padding finished-dip spectrum. Each datum captured across distinct case studies; policies determined findings' scope. Two sets analysed broadly representative, others restrict igniting transitions; skilful

economic methods trace succinct interim industrial phases, spanning mechanisation to mass "high"-tech. All advances ruptured pre-conditions alongside gradual, linked. Thirty-two sub-samples—main nation/dominant drive; era; data set—split wide and narrowly—counter erstwhile widespread thinkings, case mixes encompassing shifts aided instead mass benefit., Baticos addressed economic principles B.4 classical approach earlier footprint too extensive.

### 5. Sparks in the Field: Case Studies of Industrial Awakening

The empirical analysis presented offers insights on industrial awakening in India, another large economy with the potential for significant growth and industrialization. India experienced an industrial revolution around the time of independence in the mid-20th century, followed by a slowdown in annual per capita income growth during the 1980s. A notable upturn began in the mid-1990s, with GDP growth rising to around 7% and considerable financial reforms undertaken. During this period, the industrial sector exhibited a revival, reflected in greater value-added growth, increased employment opportunities, and a surge in new companies entering the market.

A second case focuses on Ghana, which, like many African countries, has historically been rich in mineral resources yet struggled to convert these endowments into long-term growth. A manufacturing peak was observed in the early years following independence in the 1960s, after which the sector slipped into recession and never fully recovered. Since 2010, Ghana's economy has experienced a pronounced turnaround, accompanied by significant developments in mining, oil, and gas extraction.

A third case concerns Argentina, which underwent industrialization under the framework of import substitution industrialization (ISI) in the early 20th century, a path taken by other countries such as Brazil, India, and Mexico. A vigorous manufacturing sector developed, leading to considerable technical change, mechanization, and a sharp upward trend in productivity well into the 1970s. Following a crisis in 2001, Argentina was described as a "new economy," embarking on an extensive but narrowly defined industrial policy aimed at enhancing aggregate productivity and investment.

### 6. Patterns in the Flame: Findings on Growth, Productivity, and Sectoral Shifts

Recent deliberations have examined economic evolution grounded in resource-based views. Fundamental Neoclassical growth models depicted resources as mere initial conditions for transition to enduring wage, technology, and productivity growth, with resource allocation later assumed to depend entirely on price signals. The notion of structural transformation, occurring chiefly through inter-sectoral gains from trade and shifts from agriculture to services, gained traction in 1980s models. Such propositions neglected mechanisms responsible for growth augmentation and structural transformation themselves. The present research advances a theoretical framework underpinning an alternative positioning, and estimates empirical relationships spanning forty-five countries that encapsulate the emerging paradigm—all brought to light through an historical perspective on industrialization.

As a fundamental first phase of economic evolution, major and sustained industrialization, accompanied by

firm and technological diversification across diverse branches of production, initiated a discontinuous leap in productivity. Subsequent economic development processes substantially enhance productivity although primary sector shrinks considerably, multi-product and high design-content manufacturing complements dominate technological progress, sectoral diversity of large majority plant-scale increases, accessibility to foreign inventiveness materialises, and resource allocation becomes less pivotal.

This account invoked two stylised facts. First, countries experience high and persistent productivity growth alongside strong and sustained industrialisation; growth accelerates in commodities-rich economies, yet remains sluggish with scarce initial industrial base. Second, while earlier structural transformation consists overwhelmingly of reallocation from primary sector to cross-industry large-volume and major technological frontier manufacturing, advanced stages feature expansion within cross-product diversity, sectoral and production-fragment splitting become prominent, and large multinational corporation participation underpinning international-technology-availability build-up intensifies (Syrquin & Chenery, 1989) ; Yin, 2006 (Yin, 2006).

#### **7. Ties that Bind: Institutions, Policy, and the Environment for Industry**

Countries operating within a relatively stable democracy and with a central bank that functions independently experience higher levels of manufactured exports, foreign direct investment (FDI), and technology spillovers from FDI. Furthermore, developing countries that observe good governance can expand their access to overseas technology. Trade liberalization seems to be a domestic institution that shapes policy priorities. At the early stage of a country's economic development, the promotion of manufactured exports appears to be successful for open economies lacking 'good' institutions. Nevertheless, countries with sound economic policies, including openness to trade, may still experience a deterioration of the competitive environment for manufacturing entry and exit (Harrison & Rodríguez-Clare, 2010).

Democracy impacts competition and entry barriers, thereby shaping the industrial structure of developing countries. In such countries, increasing democracy also appears to result in further liberalization of the goods market. In this context, the effects of regime type and economic policies have been examined, confirming that more democratic institutions are associated with fewer entry barriers, driving higher competition. Despite democratization being a widely debated topic, there are still only a few empirical studies that analyze the relation between political institutions and economic growth at the industry level. It seems that political institutions continue to exert a decisive influence on countries' industrial growth, influencing both supply and demand. Additionally, more democratic institutions and sound governance foster a greater expansion of knowledge and improve existing technologies, facilitating the absorption of technology and enhancing productivity, especially for outward-oriented sectors (Zuazu Bermejo, 2015).

Industrial dynamics matter for economic development. Once a country reaches a minimum threshold of human and physical capital—with the associated capabilities to produce capital goods and operate sophisticated equipment—the industrialization of the economy may proceed faster than anticipated, with delayed structural

transformation towards “modern” services, such as finance and commerce to support industry. However, in the absence of a generalized threshold opening the door to faster movement through these different steps, the process is eventually slowed down, possibly halting the accumulation of both human and physical capital at levels well below the high-income frontier (Próchniak, 2013).

#### **8. Risks, Fragilities, and Resilience: Balancing Growth with Social and Environmental Costs**

Industrial sectors produce significant emissions, waste, and unsustainable extraction of non-renewable resources that exceed ecological thresholds (Antoci et al., 2008). Growth fueled by old industry in poor countries leads to rises in poverty, inequality, and environmental damages incompatible with achieving sustainable development. Industrialization benefits the economic growth and social welfare of countries that cross the threshold of industrial concentration, yet numerous countries with limited concentrations are producing notable increases in carbon, material, and hydrological footprints and other ecological indices that are higher than those when industrialized. The growth momentum now does not necessarily support an economic development pathway that is conducive to Material Footprint, Carbon Footprint, Water Footprint, and other environmental pollutant emissions.

#### **9. A Tale of Transitions: Technology, Innovation, and Capabilities**

Throughout the development process, countries worldwide typically encounter similar yet distinct issues regarding capabilities and industrialization (Filippetti & Peyrache, 2010). Early entrants, such as the earliest industrializers beyond an agrarian economy or more advanced nations, with colonial remnants abandoned before dissemination to developing countries, express demands at distinct transitional stages. In contrast to contemporary industrialization attempts, these formerly advanced economies already possessed higher levels of technological capacities than the latter group at initiation. As noted earlier, the selection mechanism permitting industrial movement evolved. In the epoch of the industrial revolution, job opportunities migrated globally, although the subsequent trend tended towards regional clustering.

Between the early commencement of industrial transition and the early 20th century, industrial production expansion clung to essential raw material possession and economic emphasis solely on discrete tool manufacturing. As the transition progressed, intended solutions often shifted toward fields of mechanization, electronics, and related manufacturing alongside ET and alternative CEX technologies, assuming industrialization merely implied modern reallocation of existing RS opportunities (Singh & Singh Shergill, 2009). The absence of equipment and techno resources for local production, even at mature initial transitional stages still exclusively parallel needed competencies, remains commonplace. Even countries that fully transitioned elsewhere still exhibit suppression on emergent paradigms and continuing coupling reliance remain widespread.

#### **10. The Road of Convergence: Toward Inclusive Industrial Development**

In the early stages of industrialization, a few developing countries managed to build broad industrial bases and significant manufacturing capabilities. Their diversity and broadness enabled them to generate strong domestic

demand, extend reliable feedback, and adopt vigorous growth pro-industrialization policies. Lessons from domestic and international experiences show that, as obstacles to industrialization remain everywhere, developing countries can increase their chances of sustained industrial development by consciously avoiding excessive and premature shifts to comparative advantages in natural-resource-based and primary-product exports, labor-intensive light industries, and non-friendly agricultural sectors. Beyond the substantial, systematic evidence of the robust relationship between industrial development and economic growth amassed by contemporary empirical growth research, far-reaching theoretical analyses articulate deeper channels. Industrialization fosters a convergence process that, under certain conditions, can lead to sustainable economic growth and the redistribution of income and wealth to more inclusive and evenly distributed patterns across rich and poor countries.

Countries around the world have devoted considerable attention to enhancing the quality and inclusiveness of their public policy and governance. The lessons from the historical experiences of advanced economies, emerging-market and developing economies reveal that ensuring high-quality industrial development constitutes an essential step toward achieving more inclusive industrialization globally. Studies have classified the quality dimensions of industrial development—namely, inclusive industrialization, environmental sustainability, and digitalization—into an operational quality framework that encapsulates fundamental features of industrial development across the entire spectrum of development stages and across all geographies. Adapting strategies to the circumstances of individual countries, enhancing industrial and trade financing mechanisms and market structures, refocusing industry-community linkages, refining capacity-building policies along production networks, and accelerating regional cooperation and integration represent ten sets of major policy levers that several countries have successfully implemented in pursuit of higher-quality industrial development. These lessons can guide resource-constrained developing economies in sharpening industrial policies to achieve improved quality, inclusiveness, and sustainability in industrialization (Kruse et al., 2022); (Li et al., 2016).

#### 11. Epilogue: Reflections on Measurement, Causality, and Future Prospects

The preceding investigation has systematically linked the industrial development of individual countries to economic growth through measures of wealth, output, productivity, technology, and capital. While such measurement opens up fruitful routes for further exploratory work, this depends nonetheless on some reasonably stringent assumptions concerning the processes of economic growth and development across different countries. Such assumptions refer not only to the channels through which industrial development influences growth but also to the causal order of events themselves. Some of the fundamental conceptual and empirical issues surrounding such measurement and causality are briefly reviewed here, followed by a discussion of promising avenues for future research that might escape some of these limitations.

Few economic topics are as deeply opaque as the conception and measurement of industrial development and the relationships it maintains with economic growth.

Probing this topic further, however, might lead not only to a better understanding of industrial development, but also of economic growth itself. If industrial development has helped to form and spread growth of aggregate output across countries, the conditions governing its origination and systematic growth on the country level – and the long lag that often intervenes before it materializes – could prove pivotal to constructing a more global theory of growth. Several countries, industries, and firms, moreover, already exhibit the various forms of industrial development and substantial economic growth; hence, further delineation of the underlying patterns and timing, beyond the global investigation, should sooner or later become possible between these, other economies in transition, and those remaining at an earlier stage (Eberhardt & Teal, 2009).

#### Conclusion

Industrialization often heralds unprecedented growth—and unheralded costs. An industrial revolution may ignite expansion for decades, yet the eventual decline of an industrial economy, with attendant fragility and social fractures, raises ominous questions. The growth experience of countries that underwent a second wave of industrialization after the first global surge escaped the International Monetary Fund's (IMF) clutches from 1960 to 1990. An industrial awakening often occurs five to eight years after a less industrial economy enters the mid-range of gross domestic product (GDP) per capita; yet, it remains unclear which arrangements—political, sociocultural, economic, or others—conducive to sustained productivity growth capable of addressing the accompanying risks are bound to be adopted. Despite significant structural change, the widespread belief that industrialization inevitably gives way to de-industrialization remains largely unchallenged. Advanced economies often embark upon de-industrialization while at the forefront of productivity growth. De-industrialization presages the onset of economic convergence for countries in a strong growth position, and seven authors widely perceived to possess an especial grasp of monetary, fiscal, or other economic matters were unable to avert contraction. While some countries transitioned to a post-industrial environment, a broadly defined manufacturing sector corresponding to gross fixed capital formation continued to occupy a non-negligible space in aggregate-level condition approaches, highlighting an evolving perception of intermediate variables.

#### Acknowledgment

The author expresses sincere gratitude to the Principal and the Department of Commerce, Sameer Gandhi Kala Mahavidyalaya, Malshiras, for their continuous encouragement and institutional support. The author is also thankful to colleagues, researchers, and all those whose valuable suggestions and guidance contributed to the successful completion of this study

#### Financial support and sponsorship

Nil.

#### Conflicts of interest

The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

**References**

1. Scott 1981- Kahn, B. (2007). The role of fixed factors in multi-sector neoclassical growth models.
2. Syrquin, M. & Chenery, H. (1989). Three decades of industrialization.
3. F. R. Crafts, N. & C. Mills, T. (2017). Six centuries of British economic growth: a time-series perspective.
4. Mancini, L. & José Paz Antolín, M. (2016). What conditions may foster an industrial development strategy based on extractive industries?
5. Kruse, H., Mensah, E., Sen, K., & de Vries, G. (2022). A Manufacturing (Re)Naissance? Industrialization in the Developing World. [ncbi.nlm.nih.gov](https://ncbi.nlm.nih.gov)
6. Yin, Y. P. (2006). Business and Financial Services: New Engine of Economic Growth.
7. Harrison, A. & Rodríguez-Clare, A. (2010). Chapter 63 – Trade, Foreign Investment, and Industrial Policy for Developing Countries.
8. Zuazu Bermejo, I. (2015). Political Institutions, Technology and Growth: a dynamic panel approach.
9. Próchniak, M. (2013). To What Extent Is the Institutional Environment Responsible for Worldwide Differences in Economic Development.
10. Antoci, A., Russu, P., & Ticci, E. (2008). Structural change, economic growth and environmental dynamics with heterogeneous agents.
11. Filippetti, A. & Peyrache, A. (2010). The Dynamic of Technological Capabilities of Countries: A Dual Approach Using Composite Indicators & Data Envelopment Analysis.
12. Singh, L. & Singh Shergill, B. (2009). Technological Capability, Employment Growth and Industrial Development: A Quantitative Anatomy of Indian Scenario.
13. Li, K. W., Zhou, X., & Pan, Z. (2016). Cross-Country Output Convergence and Growth: Evidence from Varying Coefficient Nonparametric Method.
14. Eberhardt, M. & Teal, F. (2009). Econometrics for Grumblers: A New Look at the Literature on Cross-Country Growth Empirics.