

Manuscript ID:
TIJCMBLIR-2025-0202031

Volume: 2

Issue: 2

Month: April

Year: 2025

E-ISSN: 3065-9191

Submitted: 06 Mar 2025

Revised: 16 Mar 2025

Accepted: 27 Apr 2025

Published: 30 Apr 2025

Address for correspondence:
Dr. Harsha Bharat Goyal
(MCOM; MBA; NET;
SET(Comm); SET(Mgt); GDCA;
DTL; Ph.D.) Dean, Faculty of
Commerce, Head Department of
Commerce & Accountancy Rayat
Shikshan Sanstha's Karmaveer
Bhaurao Patil College,
(Empowered Autonomous) Vashi,
Navi Mumbai
Email:
hbgoyal@kbpcollegevashi.edu.in

DOI: [10.5281/zenodo.15968147](https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.15968147)

DOI Link:

<https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.15968147>



Creative Commons (CC BY-NC-SA 4.0):

This is an open access journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 International Public License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work noncommercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

Green Finance and Ethical Investing: The New Frontier in Commerce

Dr. Harsha Bharat Goyal

(MCOM; MBA; NET; SET(Comm); SET(Mgt); GDCA; DTL; Ph.D.) Dean, Faculty of Commerce, Head Department of Commerce & Accountancy Rayat Shikshan Sanstha's Karmaveer Bhaurao Patil College, (Empowered Autonomous) Vashi, Navi Mumbai

Abstract

Green finance and ethical investment are key instruments for supporting sustainable economic development and fighting climate change. As environmental and social issues worsen worldwide, companies and investors are incorporating sustainability into their financial strategies. Green finance describes the financing of projects that are beneficial to the environment, including renewable energy, pollution, and green infrastructure. In contrast, ethical investment emphasizes the alignment of financial choices with social obligations and moral values, taking into account considerations such as human rights, labor standards, and corporate governance.

Historically, these ideas took hold in the late 20th century, as they developed along with an increasing consciousness of ecological loss and social injustice. They are now mainstream business trends that shape business practices and investment patterns. Tools such as green bonds; sustainability-linked lending facilities; and Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) considerations are being more frequently employed to inform responsible investment. Despite these advancements, obstacles persist. Uncertainty over standardization in ESG metrics, greenwashing, and restricted access to sustainable finance in emerging economies hampers wide-scale adoption. Overcoming these impediments requires a stricter regulatory environment, enhanced transparency, and international cooperation. Green finance and ethical investment have become central strategies for driving sustainable economic development and climate-change mitigation. With escalating global concerns for environmental and social matters, firms and investors are increasingly adopting sustainability in their financial investments. This study discusses the history, relevance, and future development of green finance and ethical investment in the general context of commerce. It underscores major instruments, challenges and policy suggestions that can spur the shift

Keywords: Green finance, ethical investing, ESG, sustainability, impact investing, climate finance, responsible investment

Introduction

With rising environmental challenges and social injustices, the global financial system is in the midst of revolutionary change. Green finance and ethical investing embody this revolution with the intent of bringing economic goals into harmony with environmental sustainability and social responsibility. This study explores the increasing role of financial activities in contemporary business.

Understanding Green Finance

Green finance is financial activity that furthers environmental sustainability and advances projects with beneficial ecological impacts. This encompasses green bonds, green investments in sustainable infrastructure, and climate risk evaluations. It is a key driver in mobilizing capital for clean energy, pollution abatement, conservation, and climate adaptation.

Ethical Investing and ESG Framework

Ethical investing combines moral values with money-making decisions that are frequently based on Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) principles. Investors evaluate companies' sustainability practices, labor policies, governance structures, and their community impact. Ethical investing encompasses socially responsible

Instruments and Mechanisms

Green Bonds

Definition: Green bonds are a type of debt security issued specifically to finance

How to Cite this Article:

Goyal, H. B. (2025). Green Finance and Ethical Investing: The New Frontier in Commerce. *The International Journal of Commerce Management and Business Law in International Research*, 2(2), 132–136. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.15968147>

environmentally friendly projects.

Purpose:

To fund projects with favorable environmental outcomes, including:

- Renewable energy (solar, wind)
- Clean transportation
- Sustainable water management
- Energy efficiency
- Climate change adaptation projects

Key Features:

- Like ordinary bonds, but proceeds are ring-fenced for green projects.
- Normally issued by governments, corporations, or financial institutions.
- Need to comply with accepted standards like the Green Bond Principles (GBP).

Example: A city uses green bonds to finance a new metro line to reduce its carbon emissions.

ESG Funds (Environmental, Social, and Governance Funds)

Definition:

ESG funds are investment portfolios consisting of firms that comply with Environmental, Social, and Governance criteria.

Purpose:

- To promote ethical investing by channeling capital into firms that:
- Have negligible environmental impact
- Adhere to human rights and employee well-being
- Exhibit ethical corporate governance

Key Features:

- Investors take into account ESG performance in addition to financial returns.
- Ratings are issued by ESG rating agencies (e.g., MSCI, Sustainalytics).
- Well-liked by socially responsible investors.

Example: An ESG fund can invest in a company that utilizes renewable energy, is diversified, and possesses open-board governance.

Sustainability-linked Loans (SLLs)

Definition:

Borrowing, wherein the interest rate or other conditions are tied to the sustainability performance of the borrower in achieving targets.

Purpose: To encourage borrowers to enhance their sustainability performance over time.

Key Features:

- Performance is measured against Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) or Sustainability Performance Targets (SPTs).
- If the borrower achieves the target (e.g., decreasing emissions), they can receive lower interest rates.
- Works across industries.

Example: A manufacturing company takes a loan with lower interest if it achieves a 30% decrease in water usage within 3 years.

Carbon Markets

Definition:

Markets where carbon credits (or emission allowances) are traded enable participants to offset their greenhouse gas emissions.

Purpose: To place a cost on carbon emissions by inducing firms to reduce their carbon footprint.

Types:

- **Compliance markets:** Governed by government policy (e.g., EU Emissions Trading System).
- **Voluntary markets:** Firms or individuals buy credits voluntarily to balance emissions.

How it works:

- One carbon credit = one metric ton of CO₂ (or equivalent gases) cut or removed.
- Firms with lower emissions can sell surplus credits to those with higher emissions.

Example: a wind farm sells carbon credits to a polluting factory, allowing it to offset emissions.

5. Benefits and Impacts

1. Lower Carbon Emissions:

Green finance invests capital in environmentally friendly initiatives, such as renewable energy, green infrastructure, electric vehicles, and sustainable farming. Ethical investing steers away from businesses that are large polluters and emit high levels of greenhouse gases.

Effect:

- Encourages low-carbon technologies and discourages fossil fuel reliance.
- Picks up speed in the move towards a green, sustainable economy.
- Helps reach climate objectives, e.g., those identified in the Paris Agreement.

Example: investing in a solar project over a coal power plant lowers emissions directly in the future.

Enhanced Corporate Accountability: Ethical investment prioritizes openness, governance, and social obligation. Businesses with the goal of appealing to green or ESG investments are obligated to

- Notify their environmental footprints
- Employ ethical labor strategies
- Exercise decent corporate governance

Effect:

- Positions companies to balance activities according to global standards for sustainability.
- Reduces decision making, internal checking, and proper leadership.
- Firms become more responsible to the public and investors.

Example: A firm can begin issuing ESG reports and enhance waste management to appeal to ESG investors.

Risk Mitigation and Long-term Financial Returns:

Green and ethical investments do not go for high-risk activities such as fossil fuels or companies with weak governance. They opt for industries with long-term sustainability and viability.

Impact:

- Reduces risk exposure to regulatory, environmental, and reputational risks.
- Firms with good ESG records tend to outperform others in the long term.
- Strong ESG records help companies better anticipate and respond to future risks, such as climate change rules and scarcity of resources.

Example: An ESG fund does not invest in a coal firm that subsequently receives fines and decreases demand, thereby safeguarding investors.

Enhanced Reputation and Stakeholder Trust: Companies that embrace ethical and green finance approaches are regarded as responsible and visionary by customers, employees, investors, and communities.

Impact:

- Creates brand loyalty and strengthens customer trust.
- Attract high-calibre employees who desire to work for value-based companies
- Facilitates gaining support from regulators and communities.

Example: A bank that specializes in funding green initiatives may attract more environmentally friendly customers and favorable media coverage.

Challenges and Limitations

Greenwashing and non-standardization:

Greenwashing occurs when companies or funds falsely present themselves as green or ethical without actually making any sustainability efforts. Non-standardization is where there is no global standard for what is "green" or "ethical."

Challenges:

- Investors can unwittingly invest in projects that are not actually sustainable.
- Without global standards, it's hard to compare and authenticate ESG credentials.
- Some businesses utilize ESG labels as a publicity stunt, not an authentic promise.

Example: A business labels its products "eco-friendly" but has no sustainable raw materials and no genuine certifications.

Limited Information and Transparency: Access to consistent, comparable, and trustworthy ESG data remains a big challenge.

Challenges:

- Most businesses fail to report detailed ESG or sustainability information.
- Information that is publicly available might be incomplete, old, or unaudited.
- Lack of transparency makes it difficult for investors to make informed decisions.

Example: An investor may find it difficult to determine whether a company's climate ambitions are supported by concrete actions or only promises.

Regulatory Uncertainty: There is insufficient clear and consistent government policies regarding green finance and ESG regulations across nations.

Challenges:

- Shifting regulations can raise compliance costs and perplex investors.
- Investors might refrain from investing in green projects if government rules or incentives are not well-defined.
- Regulations fragmented across countries complicate coordination at the global level.

Example: While one country has a framework of tax incentives for green bonds, another country does not deter cross-border investment.

Balancing Profit Motives and Ethical Objectives:

Companies and investors frequently have to trade off financial performance with ethical impact.

Challenges:

- Some ethical investments might perform poorly in the short term than their conventional counterparts.
- The short-term pressure to make quick profits may be incompatible with long-term sustainability objectives.
- Ethical screens could rule out successful sectors, reducing possible investment opportunities.

Example:

An ethical fund may not invest in oil businesses (short-term profitability) because of their environmental destruction, potentially lowering short-term returns.

Case Studies

- **Apple Inc.:** Issuance of green bonds to fund renewable energy projects.
- **Triodos Bank:** Pioneering ethical banking and investments across Europe.
- **India's Sovereign Green Bond:** A landmark initiative to fund clean energy and infrastructure.

Policy and Regulatory Landscape

EU Green Taxonomy: The EU Green Taxonomy is a classification system created by the European Union to determine what constitutes an environmentally sustainable economic activity.

Purpose:

- To inform investors and policymakers by clearly setting activities that contribute to the EU's climate and environmental goals.
- Avoid greenwashing by creating clear, science-based criteria.

Key Features:

- Includes six environmental objectives:
- Climate change mitigation
- Climate change adaptation
- Sustainable use of water
- Transition to a circular economy
- Pollution prevention
- Conservation of biodiversity and ecosystems

Impact:

- Guides investors in investing capital towards truly green activities.
- Creates an international standard of sustainable finance regulation.

Task Force on Climate-related Financial Disclosures (TCFD): The FSB launched the TCFD in 2015 to frame a company-based framework for climate-related financial disclosures.

Purpose:

- To enable standardized, reliable, and transparent disclosures of how businesses are affected by climate change.
- Enables informed financial decisions for investors, lenders, and insurers.

Key Suggestions: Report four aspects:

- Governance (overseeing climate risk by the board)

- Strategy (how climate risk impacts business plans)
- Risk Management (approach to identifying and managing risks)
- Metrics and Targets (carbon emissions, reduction target)

Impact:

- Promotes business responsibility on climate risk.
- It is widely utilized by companies and regulators globally (including the UK, Japan, and New Zealand).

SEBI's ESG Disclosure Norms in India: The Securities and Exchange Board of India (SEBI) introduced mandatory ESG disclosure norms for top listed companies in India.

Purpose:

- To enhance transparency and standardization in ESG reporting.
- Align India's corporate reporting practices with global ESG trends.

Key Features:

- Introduced the Business Responsibility and Sustainability Report (BRSR) framework.
- Mandatory for the top 1,000 listed companies by market capitalization.
- Covers various ESG aspects such as:
- Environmental impact (energy, emissions, water consumption)
- Social considerations (employee well-being, community empowerment)
- Governance (board diversity, ethical behaviour)

Impact:

- Encourages sustainable business practices and green investing.
- Assists Indian businesses in gaining confidence in international investors seeking ESG-compliant investments.

Future Outlook

The convergence of AI, fintech, and big data is likely to advance ESG analyses and ensure transparency. As sustainability enters the mainstream, green finance and ethical investing are likely to reshape the future of global businesses.

Conclusion

Green finance and responsible investing are no longer marginal ideas but integral parts of a strong and sustainable financial system. Through concerted action by governments, financial institutions, and investors, trade can be at the forefront of the transition to a greener and more equitable world.

Acknowledgment

I am Dr. Harsha Bharat Goyal thankful to Rayat Shikshan Sanstha, Satara, and Principal of Rayat Shikshan Sanstha's, Karmaveer Bhaurao Patil College, (Empowered Autonomous) Vashi, Navi Mumbai Prof. Dr. Shubhada Nayak for granting permission to carry out this work.

Financial support and sponsorship Nil.

Conflicts of interest: The authors declare no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

References:

1. Clark, G. L., Feiner, A., & Viehs, M. (2015). From the stockholder to the stakeholder: How sustainability can drive financial outperformance. University of Oxford. https://arabesque.com/research/From_the_stockholder_to_the_stakeholder_web.pdf
2. Friede, G., Busch, T., & Bassen, A. (2015). ESG and financial performance: Aggregated evidence from more than 2000 empirical studies. *Journal of Sustainable Finance & Investment*, 5(4), 210–233. <https://doi.org/10.1080/20430795.2015.1118917>
3. Global Sustainable Investment Alliance (GSIA). (2021). Global sustainable investment review 2020. <https://www.gsi-alliance.org/trends-report-2020/>
4. International Finance Corporation. (2018). Green bond impact report. World Bank Group. https://www.ifc.org/wps/wcm/connect/corp_ext_content/ifc_external_corporate_site/about+ifc/investor+relations/green+bond+impact+report
5. International Monetary Fund. (2022). Climate finance: A work in progress. <https://www.imf.org/en/Publications/fandd/issues/2022/03/climate-finance-update-Matthews>
6. Kotsantonis, S., Pinney, C., & Serafeim, G. (2016). ESG integration in investment management: Myths and realities. *Journal of Applied Corporate Finance*, 28(2), 10–16. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jacf.12169>
7. OECD. (2021). ESG investing and climate transition: Market practices, issues and policy considerations. <https://www.oecd.org/finance/ESG-investing-and-climate-transition.pdf>
8. Sustainable Stock Exchanges Initiative. (2022). Model guidance on climate disclosure. <https://sseinitiative.org/publication/model-guidance-climate-disclosure/>
9. Sullivan, R., Martindale, W., Feller, E., & Bordon, A. (2015). Fiduciary duty in the 21st century. United Nations Environment Programme Finance Initiative. <https://www.unepfi.org/publications/investment-publications/fiduciary-duty-in-the-21st-century/>
10. UNEP. (2021). Green finance for sustainable development. United Nations Environment Programme. <https://www.unep.org/resources/report/green-finance-sustainable-development>
11. United Nations Principles for Responsible Investment (UN PRI). (2021). Principles for Responsible Investment: Annual report 2021. <https://www.unpri.org/annual-report-2021>
12. World Economic Forum. (2020). Measuring stakeholder capitalism: Towards common metrics and consistent reporting of sustainable value creation. <https://www.weforum.org/reports/measuring-stakeholder-capitalism-towards-common-metrics-and-consistent-reporting-of-sustainable-value-creation>

13. World Bank. (2022). State and trends of carbon pricing 2022. The World Bank Group. <https://openknowledge.worldbank.org/handle/10986/37455>